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## Executive Summary

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The Town of Raymond is located approximately 34 km south of Alberta's fourth largest city, Lethbridge, in the County of Warner. Over the past couple of years, the Town has experienced a large population growth, from 3200 residents in 2005 to 3363 residents in 2007. As a result of the growth, the Town has some immediate and future transportation needs that are required to address urgent development concerns.

Associated Engineering (AE) was retained by the Town of Raymond to develop the Transportation Master Plan (TMP) for the Town. The plan will address immediate and long term transportation issues indicated by the Town and establish a transportation road network plan for the future expansion of Raymond. These immediate and long term issues are listed below.

### 1.1 Immediate Concerns

- Definition of road network where new development is occurring within the existing Town boundary
- Signalization requirements at the intersections of Highway 52 (200 N) and 200 W, Highway 52 and Highway 845 (Broadway), Broadway and 100 N, Broadway and Church Avenue, and 100 N and 200 W
- Establish a unit cost estimate for construction of newly proposed roadways within the existing Town boundary
- Pedestrian safety at the school site located along Highway 52.


### 1.2 Long Term Concerns

- Definition of road network for future expansion of the Town boundary
- Future requirement for extending 400 W from Highway 52 to 400 S
- Future requirement for extending 300 S from 100 W to Broadway.

The TMP was completed using the following methodology:

- Data collection and review
- Establish time horizons for completing the capacity analysis
- Develop the functional classification (Road Network Plan) for the existing and future road networks
- Determine the study intersections for completing the capacity analysis
- Generate background, site and total traffic forecasts for the established time horizons
- Distribute and assign traffic forecasts to the study intersections on the existing/future road network for the established time horizons
- Analyze the capacity of the study intersections within the Town boundaries for the established time horizons
- Complete a traffic signal warrant for the intersection of Highway 52 and Broadway
- Develop a unit cost estimate for construction of new roadway
- Report documentation.

The capacity analyses were completed using the afternoon (pm) peak hour traffic volumes and was completed for three different time horizons, which include:

- Existing (2008) horizon
- Future short-term (2018) horizon
- Future long-term (2028) horizon.


## 2 ANALYSIS

With consideration for the existing land use and the proposed developments indicated by the Town, AE established the road network for all the time horizons. The roadway networks were then classified into the following road classifications with consideration for function and connectivity: public lanes (alleys), local streets, collector streets and arterial streets. Figure E-1, E-2 and E-3 present the road network plan and road classifications for the 2008, 2018 and 2028 horizons respectively.

The road network plans show the study intersections that were assessed in the capacity analysis for each time horizon. For the purpose of the traffic assessment a minimum overall intersection LOS C and a minimum LOS D for each movement at an intersection was required. The level of service definitions for an unsignalized intersection is included in Appendix C.

Existing traffic volumes for the 2008 horizon were derived by applying a calculated growth rate of $1.6 \%$ to traffic data obtained from either Alberta Transportation (AT) or from traffic counts conducted by the Town. Traffic volumes for the future 2018 and 2028 horizon were obtained by applying the $1.6 \%$ growth rate to the 2008 volumes and combining with anticipated development traffic. The development traffic was estimated using a three-step process which involved trip generation, trip distribution and trip assignment.

Table E-1 presents an overview of the capacity analysis results completed on the existing 2008 traffic volumes and the 2018 and 2028 background and development traffic volumes, for the pm peak hour. A detailed summary has been included in Appendix D of the report.

## ii





Table E-1
Capacity Analysis Results - All Horizons, PM Peak Hour

| Intersection | 2008 <br> Existing Traffic |  |  | 2018 <br> (Background \& Development Traffic) |  |  | 2028 <br> (Background \& Development Traffic) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | O, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{2} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $0$ |  | $\frac{\pi}{\frac{\pi}{0}}$ | $0$ |  |  |
| Railway Avenue \& 200 E | A | 0.04 | 3.6 | A | 0.11 | 4.1 | A | 0.20 | 4.5 |
| Highway 52 \& 400 W | n/a |  |  | A | 0.03 | 1.5 | A | 0.05 | 1.4 |
| Highway 52 \& 200 W | A | 0.18 | 6.0 | A | 0.36 | 7.0 | A | 0.70 | 13.0 |
| Highway 52 \& Broadway | A | 0.20 | 6.3 | A | 0.41 | 8.7 | D | 1.37 | 81.0 |
| Highway 52 \& 200 E | A | 0.10 | 6.0 | A | 0.23 | 7.0 | A | 0.52 | 8.5 |
|  <br> Development \#10 Access | n/a |  |  | n/a |  |  | A | 0.09 | 1.9 |
| 100 N \& 400 W | n/a |  |  | A | 0.02 | 1.9 | A | 0.02 | 1.9 |
| 100 N \& 200 W | A | 0.09 | 7.6 | A | 0.15 | 8.0 | A | 0.22 | 8.6 |
| 100 N \& Broadway | A | 0.09 | 3.7 | A | 0.16 | 3.6 | B | 0.28 | 4.3 |
| 100 N \& 200 E | A | 0.07 | 7.4 | A | 0.16 | 7.9 | A | 0.23 | 8.5 |
| 100 S \& 400 W | n/a |  |  | A | 0.07 | 6.0 | A | 0.09 | 6.0 |
| 100 S \& 200 W | A | 0.05 | 7.1 | A | 0.08 | 7.4 | A | 0.13 | 7.7 |


| Intersection | 2008 <br> Existing Traffic |  |  | 2018 <br> (Background \& Development Traffic) |  |  | 2028 <br> (Background \& Development Traffic) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{\Omega} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $0$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{\Omega} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $0$ |  |  |
| 100 S \& Broadway | A | 0.06 | 4.7 | A | 0.10 | 3.7 | A | 0.16 | 4.0 |
| 100 S \& 200 E | A | 0.05 | 7.2 | A | 0.13 | 7.5 | A | 0.19 | 8.0 |
| 400 S \& 400 W | n/a |  |  | A | 0.02 | 1.8 | A | 0.03 | 1.3 |
| 400 S \& Broadway | A | 0.02 | 4.6 | A | 0.08 | 4.4 | A | 0.15 | 3.9 |
| 400 S \& 200 E | A | 0.01 | 5.3 | A | 0.02 | 6.0 | A | 0.07 | 3.1 |
| 400 S \& Development \#10 <br> Access | n/a |  |  | n/a |  |  | A | 0.00 | - |

### 2.1 Existing 2008 Traffic

All the 2008 study intersections operate well above the minimum LOS threshold required. Every intersection operates at an overall intersection LOS A and all movements across the entire road network operate at LOS B or higher.

### 2.2 2018 Background and Development Traffic

Even with additional traffic on the 2018 road network, all the study intersections operate well above the minimum LOS required with an overall intersection LOS A and all movements across the 2018 road network operate at LOS C or higher.

### 2.3 2028 Background and Development Traffic

With the exception of Highway 52 and Broadway, all the study intersections continue to operate above the minimum LOS required despite the additional traffic. Highway 52 and Broadway operates at an overall intersection LOS D. This exceeds the minimum LOS threshold and indicates that improvements are required at the intersection.

Most intersection movements operate at LOS D or higher, except at Highway 52 and 200 W, and Highway 52 and Broadway. Movements at these intersections experience LOS E and LOS F respectively.

## RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS

The 2018 road network indicated in Figure E-2 is sufficient to accommodate the traffic volumes anticipated for that horizon. Therefore, no roadway improvements are required above and beyond the road network presented.

During the 2028 horizon, a separate northbound right turn lane is required at Highway 52 and 200 W , and a change in traffic control to a four-way stop-control is required at Highway 52 and Broadway. The provision of a northbound right-turn lane at Highway 52 and 200 W will improve the LOS on the northbound approach to LOS D from LOS E and changing the traffic control to a four-way stop control at Highway 52 and 200 W will improve the intersection so that all movements operate at LOS D or higher.

One of the immediate transportation issues identified by the Town was the need for signalization at the intersections of Highway 52 and 200 W, Highway 52 and Broadway, Broadway and 100 N, Broadway and Church Avenue, and 100 N and 200 W . To evaluate the need to provide signalization at the intersections, the traffic signal warrant from the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) was completed. Table E-1 presents the results of the signal warrant for the different time horizons.

Table E-2
Traffic Signal Warrant Results

| Intersection | Existing 2008 Traffic | 2018 <br>  <br> Development Traffic) | 2028 <br>  <br> Development Traffic) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway 52 and <br> 200 W | -4.69 <br> (Not warranted) | 6.62 <br> (Not warranted) | 23.68 <br> (Not warranted) |
| Highway 52 and <br> Broadway | 0.59 <br> (Not warranted) | 14.39 <br> (Not warranted) | 36.15 <br> (Not warranted) |
| Broadway and <br> 100 N | -6.51 <br> (Not warranted) | 5.23 <br> (Not warranted) | 14.80 <br> (Not warranted) |
| Broadway and <br> Church Avenue | -4.72 <br> (Not warranted) | n/a | n/a |
| 100 N and 200 W | -10.81 <br> (Not warranted) | (Not warranted) | (Not warranted) |

The results of the signal warrants indicate that the traffic volumes at the above intersections do not warrant signalization in the 2008, 2018 or 2028 time horizons.

AE recommends that the extension of 400 W , as shown in Figure $\mathrm{E}-2$ and $\mathrm{E}-3$, be implemented. 400 W is located at the Town's west end and would serve as an important link to the future developments planned within the Town. Many of the proposed developments are located in the south end, near 400 S . The extension of 400 W to connect with 400 S would provide an alternative north-south route to these developments, reducing the trips that must pass through the Town and through the residential communities. Inherently, the 400 S extension could become a vital link by providing a more direct, quicker route for commuters heading to the new developments.

AE does not recommend the extension of 300 S between 100 W and Broadway. The results for the capacity analysis indicate that the surrounding intersections continue to operate well even without the extension; therefore, AE does not recommend that the Town implement the 300 S extension. The extension of 300 S should be evaluated again in the future should the traffic patterns within the area change.

## 4 SCHOOL SAFETY

To improve the pedestrian safety at the study intersections within the school zone, regular communications between school zones officials, City officials, parents, law enforcement officials and school transportation personnel are required.

## $x$

## COST ESTIMATE

Table E-3 presents the cost estimate for the new road construction in the 2018 and 2028 horizons. These prices reflect 2008 costs.

Table E-3
Cost Estimate

| Construction Item | 2018 Horizon | 2028 Horizon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Arterial Roadway | $\$ 1,316,929.58$ | $\$ 1,098,223.53$ |
| Collector Roadway | $\$$ | 0.00 |
| Local Roadway | $\$ 6,331,514.97$ | $\$ 856,989.81$ |
| Contingency | $\$ 1,147,266.68$ | $\$ 423,075.07$ |
| Engineering Cost | $\$ 1,319,356.69$ | $\$ 486,536.34$ |
| GST | $\$ 505,753.40$ | $\$ 186,505.60$ |
| Total | $\$ 10,620,821.32$ | $\$ 3,916,617.51$ |
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## Introduction

### 1.1 BACKGROUND

The Town of Raymond is located approximately 34 km south of Alberta's fourth largest city, Lethbridge, in the County of Warner. Over the past couple of years, the Town has experienced a large population growth, from 3200 residents in 2005 to 3363 residents in 2007. The Town's growth has identified the need to update the existing Municipal Development Plan (MDP). The MDP establishes a framework and direction for a Town's future land development and redevelopment decisions. The updated MDP will account for a future population horizon of approximately 15000. A Transportation Master Plan (TMP) is typically completed to complement the MDP by addressing the transportation needs of the proposed developments discussed in the MDP.

Associated Engineering (AE) was retained to develop the Transportation Master Plan (TMP) for the Town. The updated MDP was not available to complete the TMP study; therefore, the Town provided AE with an explicit list of the future developments anticipated within the existing and future Town boundaries. The TMP was limited to immediate and long term transportation issues indicated by the Town and does not represent a comprehensive analysis of transportation issues and future development directions.

### 1.2 STUDY OBJECTIVE

The main objective for the study was to develop a TMP which clearly defines the Town's transportation road network within the existing and future Town boundaries. The TMP will act as a coordination tool for the Town to ensure that new developments within the Town will not compromise the functionality of the road network.

As part of the study, AE will address the immediate and long term transportation issues indicated by the Town. These immediate and long term issues are listed below:

### 1.2.1 Immediate Concerns

- Definition of road network where new development is occurring within the existing Town boundary
- Signalization requirements at the intersections of Highway 52 (200 N) and 200 W, Highway 52 and Highway 845 (Broadway), Broadway and 100 N, Broadway and Church Avenue, and 100 N and 200 W
- Establish a unit cost estimate for construction of newly proposed roadways within the existing Town boundary
- Pedestrian safety at the school site located along Highway 52.


### 1.2.2 Long Term Concerns

- Definition of road network for future expansion of the Town boundary
- Future requirement for extending 400 W from Highway 52 to 400 S
- Future requirement for extending 300 S from 100 W to Broadway.


### 1.3 STUDY METHODOLOGY

The TMP was completed using the following methodology:

- Data collection and review
- Establish time horizons for completing the capacity analysis
- Develop the functional classification (road network plan) for the existing and future road networks
- Determine the study intersections for completing the capacity analysis
- Generate background, site and total traffic forecasts for the established time horizons
- Distribute and assign traffic forecasts to the study intersections on the existing/future road network for the established time horizons
- Analyze the capacity of the study intersections within the Town boundaries for the established time horizons
- Complete a traffic signal warrant for the intersection of Highway 52 and Broadway
- Develop a unit cost estimate for construction of new roadway
- Report documentation.


### 1.4 ANALYSIS HORIZONS

At the project initiation meeting, it was established that the afternoon (pm) peak hour traffic volumes would be used for completing the capacity analyses. The pm peak hour volumes represent the worst-case traffic scenario within the Town. The capacity analysis was completed for three different time horizons, which include:

- Existing (2008) horizon
- Future short-term (2018) horizon
- Future long-term (2028) horizon.


## 2

## Existing Conditions

### 2.1 LAND USE

Figure 2-1 presents the existing land use zones for the Town of Raymond, obtained from the Oldman River Region Urban GIS project. The existing zoning does not account for future growth objectives and development potential. The major land use characteristics of Raymond are listed below.

- Predominantly residential land use
- $\quad$ Central business district, with commercial land use, located along Broadway between Highway 52 and Church/Park Avenue
- General industrial land use located in the north around the railway track and in the Town's northeast corner
- Public and institutional land use located throughout the Town, with a large area located south of Highway 52 between 200 W and Broadway
- Large park located in the west bounded to the north by 100 N , to the south by 100 S , to the west by 200 E and to the east by East Park Street E. Additional park space provided along the south edge of Town in the form of a pathway along the canal right-of-way.


### 2.2 ROAD NETWORK

The Town of Raymond does not currently have a road network plan which indicates the classification of the Town's roadways; however, there are established street classification categories. Table 2-1 presents the Town's street classification categories obtained from the Municipal Standards.

Table 2-1
Raymond Municipal Standards - Street Classification

| Street Classification |
| :--- |
| Local Residential |
| Minor Collector - Residential |
| Major Collector - Residential |
| Industrial |
| Lane |



## 2 - Existing Conditions

The Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) Urban Supplement to the Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads has six roadway classifications for urban streets. These road classifications, ranked in order from lowest to highest capacity, are described further below as per the TAC.

### 2.2.1 Public Lanes (Alleys)

Provide lane access typically at the rear of abutting properties. Direct access is normally allowed to all properties. Public lanes are not intended to carry any through traffic.

### 2.2.2 Local Streets

Provide land access and occur in most developed areas, giving rise to local residential streets, local commercial streets and local industrial streets.

### 2.2.3 Collector Streets

Provide both land access and mobility within residential, commercial and industrial areas.

### 2.2.4 Arterial Streets

Separated into major and minor arterials. Major arterial streets typically interconnect the major development areas in the urban setting including the central business district, large industrial centres, major residential communities, large shopping centres and other major activity centres. Minor arterials typically interconnect residential, shopping, employment and recreational activity areas at the community level.

### 2.2.5 Expressways

Carry large volumes of all types of vehicles at medium to high speeds, at a slightly higher level of service than major arterials. Some intersections with major streets are grade separated to provide free flow conditions.

### 2.2.6 Freeway

Carry large volumes of all types of vehicles at high speed with higher level of service than major arterial. Most intersections are grade separated to provide free-flow conditions.

To facilitate planning for future roadway expansion, AE deemed it necessary to update the Town's street classifications to more closely reflect the TAC guidelines. With consideration for the Town context, AE determined that the applicable road classifications within the Town of Raymond include public lanes, local streets, collector streets and arterial streets. The arterial road classification was introduced to reflect the important function of Highway 52 and Broadway (Highway 845) in connecting the Central Business District with other parts of the Town and in connecting the Town
with adjacent municipalities. The expressway and freeway road classifications were not included since the Town's traffic volumes would not warrant the provision of these facilities.

The characteristics of each road classification are detailed in Table 2-2 below.
Table 2-2
TAC Urban Road Classifications
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { Traffic Service } \\ \text { Function } & \text { Locals } & \text { Collectors } & \text { Arterials } \\ \hline \text { Traffic movement } \\ \text { Lecondary consideration }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Traffic movement and } \\ \text { Land use access of equal } \\ \text { importance }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Traffic movement } \\ \text { major/primary } \\ \text { consideration }\end{array}\right]$

|  | Locals | Collectors | Arterials |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accommodation of <br> Cyclists | No restrictions or special <br> facilities | No restrictions or special <br> facilities | Lane widening or <br> separate facilities <br> desirable |
| Accommodations of <br> Pedestrians | Sidewalks normally on <br> one side or both sides | Sidewalks provided both <br> sides | Sidewalks may be <br> provided, separation <br> from traffic lanes <br> preferred |
| Parking | No restrictions or <br> restrictions one side only | Few restrictions other <br> than peak hour | Peak hour restrictions |
| Minimum Intersection <br> Spacing (m) | 60 | 60 | 200 (minor) |
| Right-of-way Width (m) | $15-22$ | $20-24$ | $20-45$ |

The posted speed limit for each road classification is typically set at or below the design speed.
AE reviewed the existing road network with primary consideration for function and connectivity. Figure 2-2 presents the road network plan with the road classification for the existing road network within Raymond. The intersections between the collector and arterial roads were identified in the figure and will be analyzed to assess the intersection capacity for completion of the Master Plan. The major roadways identified in the road network plan are listed below.

Arterial Roadways:

- $\quad$ Highway 52 (200 N)
- Broadway (Highway 845)
- $\quad 400 \mathrm{~S}$.

Collector Roadways:

- 100 N
- 100 S
- 200 W
- 200 E .

The remaining roadways in the existing road network were classified as local roadways.


## Future Conditions

### 3.1 LAND USE

Figure 3-1 presents the future land annexation planned by the Town of Raymond in the 2018 and 2028 horizons. The Town plans to annex two land parcels by 2018; one 52 acre parcel located south of 400 S at SE 8-6-60-4 and one 21 acre parcel located east of 400 E and north of Highway 52. An additional 580 acre parcel will be annexed east of the Town by 2028 at NW 9-6-20-4, NE 9-6-20-4, SW 96 20-4 and SE 9-6-20-4. In November 2008, the annexation application for these lands was submitted by the Town of Raymond to the Municipal Government Board.

Figures 3-2 and 3-3 present the anticipated developments indicated by the Town for the 2018 and 2028 horizons respectively. These developments are discussed in detail below. It should be noted that the developments discussed in the following sections do not represent the actual development potential of the land area. Subsequently this could affect the road network recommendations presented in this report. As part of the Town's development application process, it is recommended that a traffic impact assessment be required for all future developments within the Town boundaries in order to determine the traffic impacts on the road network.

### 3.1.1 2018 Developments

Eight major developments are anticipated by the 2018 horizon. The developments have been numbered arbitrarily and discussed below.

- Development \#1: 60-lot, single-family residential development located east of 400 W between 200 S and 300 S
- Development \#2: 66-lot, single-family residential development located east of 400 W between 300 S and 400 S
- Development \#3: 16-home, single-family residential development located south of 400 S and east of Range Road 205
- Development \#4: 85-bed institutional facility for senior citizens located west of Broadway between 300 S and 400 S
- Development \#5: 60-unit, single-family residential development located east of Broadway between 300 S and 400 S
- Development \#6: 114-lot, single-family residential development located south of 400 S and west of Development \#3
- Development \#7: ball park and soccer field in the existing park space located south of 100 S between 200 E and East Park Street
- Development \#8: 47-lot, single-family residential development located east of 400 E and north of Highway 52.





### 3.1.2 2028 Developments

Three additional developments are anticipated by the 2028 horizon, which include:

- Development \#9: 51-lot, single family residential development located east of East Park Street between 100 S and Park Avenue
- Development \#10: 265-lot, single family residential development located south of Highway 52 and west of Range Road 203
- Development \#11: 100-acre golf course located south of Highway 52 between Development \#9 and \#10.


### 3.2 ROAD NETWORK

To accommodate the anticipated developments discussed in Section 3.1, additional links to the existing road network were assumed. The following links were assumed for the 2018 and 2028 time horizons.

### 3.2.1 2018 Horizon

- Extension of 400 W from Highway 52 to 400 S
- Extension of 200 and 300 S to connect with the proposed 400 W
- Construction of local roadways into the proposed Development \#3
- Extension of Broadway, 100 E, 200 E, and 300 E, south of 400 S into the proposed Development \#6
- Construction of local roadways into the proposed Development \#8.


### 3.2.2 2028 Horizon

- Two accesses onto East Park Street from the proposed Development \#9
- One access onto Highway 52 from Development \#10, to be located approximately midway between 400 E and Range Road 203
- One access onto Highway 52 from Development \#11, to be located west of the access to Development \#10.

The future 2018 and 2028 road networks were reviewed with primary consideration for function and connectivity and classified according to the road classifications established in Section 2.2. Similar to the existing road network, the intersections between the collector and arterial roads were identified. These intersections will be assessed to determine the intersection capacity during the future time horizons.

Figures 3-4 and 3-5 present the future road network plan and classification for the 2018 and 2028 time horizons. These road networks were used for completing the capacity analysis in the 2018 and 2028 time horizons. The major roadways remain the same between the two future horizons;
however, in 2028 two additional roadways are added. The following summarizes the major roadways in the two horizons.

Arterial Roadways:

- $\quad$ Highway 52 (200 N)
- Broadway (Highway 845)
- 400 S
- 400 W
- $\quad$ South access to Development \#10 via extension of 400 S.

Collector Roadways:

- 100 N
- 100 S
- 200 W
- $\quad 200$ E
- Access to Development \#10 off Highway 52.

The remaining roadways in the existing road network were classified as local roadways.



## Background Traffic

### 4.1 2008 HORIZON

Traffic data for the Town of Raymond was obtained from two sources, Alberta Transportation and traffic counts conducted by the Town. Both sets of traffic data have been included in Appendix A. The information obtained from Alberta Transportation included:

- 2006 turning movement volumes for Highway 52 and Highway 5
- 2006 turning movement volumes for Highway 52 and Highway 844
- 2006 turning movement volumes for Highway 52 and Highway 845
- 2006 turning movement volumes for Highway 52 and Highway 846
- 2006 turning movement volumes for Highway 845 and Local Road 32
- $\quad$ Traffic volume history (1997-2006) for Highway 52.

Traffic counts were conducted by the Town at several intersections along Highway 52, 100 N and Church Avenue in April 2008. The counts were completed to obtain traffic information near the school site for the pedestrian safety study. Traffic counts were completed for the following intersections:

- $\quad$ Highway 52 and 200 W
- $\quad$ Highway 52 and 100 W
- $\quad 100 \mathrm{~N}$ and 200 W
- $\quad 100 \mathrm{~N}$ and 100 W
- $\quad 100 \mathrm{~N}$ and Broadway
- Church Avenue and 200 W
- Church Avenue and 100 W
- Church Avenue and Broadway.

Traffic count data was compiled onto a spreadsheet and the highest hourly volumes in the afternoon was used to represent the afternoon (pm) peak hour volumes.

2008 turning movement volumes at the study intersections were obtained in the following manner:

- Compile 2006 AT turning movement volumes for the applicable study intersections
- Determine and apply an annual growth rate to the applicable study intersections to grow traffic volumes to the 2008 horizon
- Include traffic data obtained from the traffic counts for the applicable study intersections
- At intersections where traffic information was not available from either source, existing travel patterns at the other intersections were used to estimate the turning movements.


## Town of Raymond

The traffic volume history along Highway 52 was analyzed to determine the annual growth rate and applied to the 2006 turning movement counts obtained from Alberta Transportation. Table 4-1 presents the growth rate calculations performed on the data provided for the intersection.

Table 4-1
Annual Growth Rate Calculation along Highway 52

| Yearl AADT | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East of Hwy 5 | 1830 | 1750 | 1640 | 1870 | 1570 | 1540 | 1560 | 1530 | 1490 | 1600 |  |
| Growth Rate |  | -4.37\% | -6.29\% | 14.02\% | -16.04\% | -1.91\% | 1.30\% | -1.92\% | -2.61\% | 7.38\% | -1.2\% |
| West of Hwy 844 | 2010 | 1930 | 1440 | 1650 | 1390 | 1350 | 1390 | 1660 | 1600 | 1710 |  |
| Growth Rate |  | -3.98\% | -25.39\% | 14.58\% | -15.76\% | -2.88\% | 2.96\% | 19.42\% | -3.61\% | 6.88\% | -0.9\% |
| East of Hwy 844 | 2000 | 1920 | 1490 | 1710 | 1440 | 1400 | 1440 | 1690 | 1630 | 1740 |  |
| Growth Rate |  | -4.00\% | -22.40\% | 14.77\% | -15.79\% | -2.78\% | 2.86\% | 17.36\% | -3.55\% | 6.75\% | -0.8\% |
| West of Hwy 845 |  | 2290 | 2160 | 2470 | 2080 | 2590 | 2670 | 2670 | 2560 | 2740 |  |
| Growth Rate |  |  | -5.68\% | 14.35\% | -15.79\% | 24.52\% | 3.09\% | 0.00\% | -4.12\% | 7.03\% | 2.9\% |
| East of Hwy 845 |  | 1660 | 1550 | 1760 | 1490 | 1560 | 1600 | 1600 | 1540 | 1660 |  |
| Growth Rate |  |  | -6.63\% | 13.55\% | -15.34\% | 4.70\% | 2.56\% | 0.00\% | -3.75\% | 7.79\% | 0.4\% |
| West of Hwy 846 | 650 | 650 | 660 | 700 | 650 | 630 | 640 | 640 | 640 | 680 |  |
| Growth Rate |  | 0.00\% | 1.54\% | 6.06\% | -7.14\% | -3.08\% | 1.59\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 6.25\% | 0.6\% |
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A negative growth rate was observed west of the Town of Raymond and a positive growth rate was observed east of the Town. To capture the worst-case scenario, the growth rates outside the Town were not considered and the average growth rate west and east of Highway 845 was used. This resulted in a rate of $1.6 \%$. The $1.6 \%$ was applied to the turning movement counts obtained from Alberta Transportation.

Figure 4-1 presents the traffic volumes for the 2008 pm peak hour derived using the methodology detailed above.

### 4.2 2018 HORIZON

To obtain the 2018 background traffic volumes, the 2008 traffic presented in Figure 4-1 was grown using the $1.6 \%$ growth rate. The growth rate was applied over a 10 year period, assuming non-compounded growth. Figure 4-2 presents the background traffic volumes for the 2018 pm peak hour at the study intersections indicated in Figure 3-4.

### 4.3 2028 HORIZON

To obtain the 2028 background traffic volumes, the 2018 background traffic volume was combined with the anticipated traffic volumes generated by the 2018 developments and grown using the $1.6 \%$ growth rate. The traffic volumes generated by the future developments are discussed in detail in Section 5 . Similar to the 2018 horizon, the $1.6 \%$ growth rate was applied over a 10 year period assuming non-compounded growth. Figure $4-3$ presents the background traffic volumes for the 2028 pm peak hour at the study intersections indicated in Figure 3-5.




## Development Traffic

A three-step process was undertaken to determine the traffic volumes generated by the future developments proposed in the 2018 and 2028 horizons. The steps included:

- Trip Generation: Estimate of the number of trips generated to/from the development sites during the pm peak hour.
- Trip Distribution: Estimate of the origin of trips to the development sites and destinations of the trips from the development sites.
- Trip Assignment: Selecting the routes used by trips to/from the development sites and assignment of the traffic volumes to the study intersections identified in Figure 3-4 and 3-5.


### 5.1 TRIP GENERATION

The ITE Trip Generation (7th Edition) handbook was referenced to determine the appropriate trip rates to apply in order to estimate the trips generated to/from the proposed developments. Tables 5-1 and 5-2 summarize the trip generation procedure undertaken to estimate the site trips in the 2018 and 2028 time horizon respectively.

### 5.2 TRIP DISTRIBUTION

Assumptions were made to establish the origin for trips ending at the proposed developments and the destination for trips starting at the proposed developments. Discussions with the Town served as the basis for the trip distribution assumptions. The following trip distribution assumptions were used in both the 2018 and 2028 horizons.

- Trips to residential developments (except for the institutional facility): origin for trips to the development sites from outside of Town, consisting of commuter trips returning home from Lethbridge in the afternoon peak. Destination for trips from the development sites assumed to be throughout Raymond.
- Trips to the institutional facility, ball diamond/soccer field and golf course: both the origin and destination was assumed to be throughout Raymond.


### 5.3 TRIP ASSIGNMENT

The development trips were assigned onto the 2018 and 2028 road network with consideration for the logical routes, on the basis of convenience and travel time, which would be taken by commuters between the origin and destinations. To capture worst-case traffic scenarios at the study intersections, the development trips were primarily assigned to the major roadways indicated on Figures 3-4 and 3-5.

Figures 5-1 and 5-2 present the traffic volumes generated by the development sites for the 2018 and 2028 time horizons.

Table 5-1
Trip Generation for Proposed Developments - $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ Horizon

|  | Description | Land Use Description | Independent Variable |  | Assumption | ITE \% Entering/ \% Exiting | Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unit | \# of <br> Units |  |  | Total | Enter | Exit |
| 1 | 60 lot residential <br> (400 E and 200 S) | Single-Family Detached Housing (210) | Dwelling <br> Unit | 60 | One dwelling unit/lot | 63/37 | 68 | 43 | 25 |
| 2 | 66 lot residential <br> (400 E and 300 S) | Single-Family Detached Housing (210) | Dwelling <br> Unit | 66 | One dwelling unit/lot | 63/37 | 74 | 47 | 27 |
| 3 | 16 Houses on 15 Acres (S of 400 S) | Single-Family Detached Housing (210) | Dwelling <br> Unit | 16 | - | 63/37 | 21 | 13 | 8 |
| 4 | 85 bed institutional facility (Broadway) | Assisted Living (254) | Occupied Beds | 85 | Assume all bed occupied | 36/64 | 36 | 13 | 23 |
| 5 | 60 unit residential (Between Broadway and 100 E ) | Single-Family Detached Housing (210) | Dwelling <br> Unit | 60 | - | 63/37 | 68 | 43 | 25 |
| 6 | Residential Subdivision (114 lots) | Single-Family <br> Detached <br> Housing (210) | Dwelling <br> Unit | 114 | One dwelling unit/lot | 63/37 | 121 | 76 | 45 |
| 7 | Ball <br> Diamond/Soccer <br> Field | City Park | Acres | 11.1 | Average rate used | 50/50 | 15 | 8 | 8 |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Residential - } 47 \text { lots } \\ & (400 \text { E) } \end{aligned}$ | Single-Family Detached Housing (210) | Dwelling <br> Unit | 47 | - | 63/37 | 55 | 35 | 20 |

Table 5-2
Trip Generation for Proposed Developments - 2028 Horizon

|  | Description | Land Use Description | Independent Variable |  | Assumption | ITE <br> \% Enteringl \% Exiting | Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unit | \# of Units |  |  | Total | Enter | Exit |
| 9 | Residential subdivision Residential | Single-Family Detached Housing (210) | Dwelling Unit | 51 | One dwelling unit/lot | 63/37 | 59 | 37 | 22 |
| 10 | Residential subdivision Residential | Single-Family Detached Housing (210) | Dwelling Unit | 265 | One dwelling unit/lot | 63/37 | 258 | 163 | 95 |
| 11 | Golf course | Golf Course (430) | Acres | 100 | - | 34/66 | 108 | 37 | 71 |




## Total Traffic

The total traffic was generated by combining the background traffic volumes with the site generated traffic volumes from the developments for common time horizons.

Figures 6-1 and 6-2 present the pm total traffic volumes for the 2018 and 2028 time horizons respectively.



## 7

## Traffic Analysis

The Synchro 7.0 traffic analysis program based on the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) was used to complete the capacity analysis of the study intersections during the pm peak hour. Synchro 7.0 applies the methodology established by the HCM to output a level of service for a study intersection, given the lane configuration, vehicular volumes, heavy vehicle percentages, etc. For the capacity analysis the following assumptions were made:

- Posted speed limit: 50 km/h throughout Town, $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ near school sites
- Heavy vehicle percentage: heavy vehicle percentage were derived from Alberta Transportation turning movements and from Town of Raymond traffic counts where applicable, otherwise $2 \%$ was assumed
- Traffic control: existing traffic control provided from Town in Appendix B
- Lane width: 4.8 m assumed (maximum allowed by Synchro)
- Default values from Synchro were used for the remaining parameters.

The operational capability of the study intersections were assessed using capacity, which is a measure of the sustainable flow rate at which vehicles can be expected to transverse a point. The critical measures used in the assessment were:

- Volume to capacity (v/c) ratio provides the amount of congestion for each turning movement and for each lane group for signalized intersections. A v/c value over 1 indicates that the movement or lane group is over capacity.
- $\quad$ Control delay is the amount of delay a vehicle experiences in seconds.
- Level of service (LOS) is a qualitative measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream and is based on service measures such as delay and congestion.

For the purpose of the traffic assessment a minimum overall intersection LOS C and a minimum LOS D for each movement at an intersection was required. The level of service definitions for an unsignalized intersection is included in Appendix C.

The detailed results of the Synchro capacity analysis for the Transportation Master Plan is provided in Appendix D and discussed below.

### 7.1 EXISTING 2008 TRAFFIC

The study intersections were assessed under the existing (2008) horizon to determine how the intersections currently operate. The results of the 2008 analysis will serve as a benchmark for future horizons and assist in pinpointing the timeframe for when intersection improvements are required.

All the 2008 study intersections operate well above the minimum LOS threshold required. Every intersection operates at an overall intersection LOS A and all movements across the entire road network operate at LOS B or higher. The results of the individual intersections are detailed below.

### 7.1.1 Railway Avenue and 200 E

Railway Avenue and 200 E operates well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max v/c ratio $=0.04$, delays $=3.6$ seconds). All movements at the intersection operate at LOS A with a v/c ratio of 0.04 or less and delays of 8.8 seconds or less.

### 7.1.2 Highway 52 and 200 W

Highway 52 and 200 W operates well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max v/c ratio $=0.18$, delays $=6.0$ seconds). Movements on the eastbound and westbound movements operate under free-flow conditions at LOS A and with low delays (v/c ratios $=0.01$, delays $=$ 1.3 seconds or less). The northbound and southbound movements are stop controlled and operate at LOS B with longer delays than the eastbound and westbound movements (v/c ratios $=0.18$ or less, delays $=11.2$ seconds or less).

### 7.1.3 Highway 52 and Broadway

Highway 52 and Broadway operates well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max v/c ratio $=0.20$, delays $=6.3$ seconds). Movements on the eastbound and westbound movements are stop controlled and operate at LOS B with low delays (v/c ratios $=0.20$ or less, delays $=$ 13.7 seconds or less). Movements on the northbound and southbound approaches are free-flow and operate at LOS A with low delays (v/c ratios $=0.05$ or less, delays $=2.9$ seconds or less).

### 7.1.4 Highway 52 and 200 E

Highway 52 and 200 E operates well at an overall intersection LOS A and with delays (max v/c ratio $=0.10$, delays $=6.0$ seconds). The eastbound, westbound and southbound movements all operate with LOS A while the northbound movements operate at LOS B. All movements operate with v/c ratios of 0.10 or less and delays of 10.1 seconds or less.

### 7.1.5 100 N and 200 W

100 N and 200 W operates well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max v/c ratio = 0.09 , delays $=7.6$ seconds). All movements operate at LOS A and with low delays (v/c ratios $=$ 0.09 or less, delays $=7.7$ seconds or less).

### 7.1.6 100 N and Broadway

100 N and Broadway operates well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max v/c ratio = 0.09 , delays $=3.7$ seconds). The westbound, northbound and southbound movements operate at

LOS A while the eastbound movements operate at LOS B. All movements operate with a v/c ratio of 0.09 or less and delays of 12.0 seconds or less.

### 7.1.7 100 N and 200 E

100 N and 200 E operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max v/c ratio $=0.07$, delays $=7.4$ seconds). All movements at the intersection operate at LOS A and with a v/c ratio of 0.07 or less and delays of 7.5 seconds or less.

### 7.1.8 100 S and 200 W

100 S and 200 W operates well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max v/c ratio $=0.05$, delay $=7.1$ seconds). All movements at the intersection operate at LOS A with low delays ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios $=0.05$ or less, delays $=7.3$ seconds or less).

### 7.1.9 100 S and Broadway

100 S and Broadway operates well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max v/c ratio = 0.06 , delays $=4.7$ seconds). Movements on the westbound, northbound and southbound approaches operate at LOS A with v/c ratios of 0.06 or less and delays of 9.5 seconds or less. Movements on the eastbound approach operate at LOS B with a v/c ratio of 0.06 and delays of 10.3 seconds.

### 7.1.10 100 S and 200 E

100 S and 200 E operates well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max v/c ratio $=0.05$, delays $=7.2$ seconds). All movements at the intersection operate at LOS A and with $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios of 0.05 or less and delays of 7.3 seconds or less.

### 7.1.11 400 S and Broadway

400 S and Broadway operates at with an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max v/c ratio = 0.02 , delays $=4.6$ seconds). All movements at the intersection operate at LOS A with extremely low delays ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios $=0.02$ or less, delays $=8.6$ seconds or less).

### 7.1.12 400 S and 200 E

400 S and 200 E operates well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max v/c ratio $=0.01$, delays $=5.3$ seconds). All movements at the intersection operate at LOS A with extremely low delays (v/c ratios $=0.01$ or less, delays $=8.5$ seconds or less).

Table 7-1 summarizes the Synchro capacity results for the existing 2008 traffic volumes, during the pm peak hour. The table only captures the overall intersection results; results for the individual intersection movements can be found in Appendix D.

Table 7-1
Existing 2008 Traffic Volumes - PM Peak Hour

| Intersection | LOS | Max VIC Ratio | Delay (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Railway Ave \& 200 E | A | 0.04 | 3.6 |
| Highway 52 \& 400 W | n/a |  |  |
| Highway 52 \& 200 W | A | 0.18 | 6.0 |
| Highway 52 \& Broadway | A | 0.20 | 6.3 |
| Highway 52 \& 200 E | A | 0.10 | 6.0 |
| Highway 52 \& Development \#10 Access | n/a |  |  |
| 100 N \& 400 W | n/a |  |  |
| 100 N \& 200 W | A | 0.09 | 7.6 |
| 100 N \& Broadway | A | 0.09 | 3.7 |
| 100 N \& 200 E | A | 0.07 | 7.4 |
| 100 S \& 400 W | n/a |  |  |
| 100 S \& 200 W | A | 0.05 | 7.1 |
| 100 S \& Broadway | A | 0.06 | 4.7 |
| 100 S \& 200 E | A | 0.05 | 7.2 |
| 400 S \& 400 W | n/a |  |  |
| 400 S \& Broadway | A | 0.02 | 4.6 |
| 400 S \& 200 E | A | 0.01 | 5.3 |
| 400 S \& Development \#10 Access | n/a |  |  |

## 7 - Traffic Analysis

### 7.22018 BACKGROUND AND DEVELOPMENT TRAFFIC

An assessment of the study intersections with the 2018 background and development traffic volumes was completed to evaluate how the intersections would operate under the 2018 time horizon.

Even with additional traffic on the 2018 road network, all the study intersections operate well above the minimum LOS required with an overall intersection LOS C and all movements across the 2018 road network operate at LOS D or higher. Two intersections operate with movements at LOS C; this includes Highway 52 at Broadway and 100 N at Broadway, which are both located in the central business district. The results of the individual intersections are detailed below.

### 7.2.1 Railway Avenue and 200 E

Railway Avenue and 200 E is expected to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays ( $\mathrm{max} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.11$, delays $=4.1$ seconds). All movements at the intersection is expected to operate at LOS A and with v/c ratios of 0.11 or less and delays of 9.3 seconds or less.

### 7.2.2 Highway 52 and 400 W

In 2018, Highway 52 and 400 W is upgraded from a T-intersection to a four-legged intersection. Highway 52 and 400 W is expected to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays ( $m a x$ v/c ratio $=0.03$, delays $=1.5$ seconds). The northbound and southbound movements are expected to operate at LOS B with v/c ratios of 0.03 and delays of 10.7 seconds or less. The eastbound and westbound movements are expected to operate at LOS A with v/c ratios of 0.01 and delays of 0.7 seconds or less.

### 7.2.3 Highway 52 and 200 W

Highway 52 and 200 W is expected to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays ( $\mathrm{max} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.36$, delays $=7.0$ seconds). The movement LOS remain unchanged from the 2008 horizon despite the additional traffic in the 2018 horizon. However, the v/c ratios and delays experience a slight increase and are at 0.36 or less and 14.9 seconds or less, respectively.

### 7.2.4 Highway 52 and Broadway

Highway 52 and Broadway is expected to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max v/c ratio $=0.41$, delays $=8.7$ seconds). The westbound movement is expected to experiences a decrease in LOS from LOS B in the 2008 horizon to LOS C in the 2018 horizon. For the remaining intersection movements, the movement LOS remain unchanged from the analysis completed for the 2008 horizon; however the v/c ratio and delays are expected to increase slightly to 0.41 or less and 14.7 or less, respectively.

### 7.2.5 Highway 52 and 200 E

Highway 52 and 200 E is expected to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays ( $\mathrm{max} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.23$, delays $=7.0$ seconds). The southbound movement is expected to experience a slight decrease in LOS from LOS A in 2008 to LOS B in 2018. The $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio is 0.09 and the delay is 10.7 seconds for this approach. The eastbound, westbound and northbound movements continue to operate at the same LOS as in 2008, but with slight increases in the v/c ratios and delays.

### 7.2.6 100 N and 400 W

100 N and 400 W is a new intersection in the 2018 horizon. 100 N and 400 W is expected to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays ( $\max \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.02$, delays $=1.9$ seconds). All movements at the intersection are expected to operate at LOS A and with v/c ratios of 0.02 or less and delays of 8.6 seconds or less.

### 7.2.7 100 N and 200 W

100 N and 200 W is expected to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A and low delays (max $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.15$, delays $=8.0$ seconds). All movement LOS remain unchanged from the 2008 horizon. The intersection movements are expected to experience slight increase in the $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio and delays.

### 7.2.8 100 N and Broadway

100 N and Broadway is expected to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays ( $\mathrm{max} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.16$, delays $=3.6$ seconds). The eastbound movement is expected to experience a decrease in LOS from LOS B in the 2008 horizon to LOS C in the 2018 horizon. The remaining movements continue to operate at the same LOS with only slight increases in the $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios and delays.

### 7.2.9 100 N and 200 E

100 N and 200 E is expected to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.16$, delays $=7.9$ seconds). All movements are expected to operate at LOS A with very slight increase in the $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios and delays from the 2008 horizon.

### 7.2.10 100 S and 400 W

100 S and 400 W is a new intersection in the 2018 horizon. 100 S and 400 W is expected to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays ( $\max \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.07$, delays $=6.0$ seconds). All movements at the intersection are expected to operate at LOS A and with v/c ratios of 0.07 or less and delays of 9.5 seconds or less.
7.2.11 100 S and 200 W

100 S and 200 W is expected to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.08$, delay $=7.4$ seconds). All movements at the intersection are expected to operate at LOS A and with low delays (v/c ratios $=0.08$ or less, delays $=7.5$ seconds or less).

### 7.2.12 100 S and Broadway

100 S and Broadway is expected to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays ( $\mathrm{max} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.10$, delays $=3.7$ seconds). The westbound movement is expected to experience a slight decrease in LOS from LOS A in the 2008 horizon to LOS B in the 2018 horizon. The LOS for other movements are expected to remain unchanged despite slight increase in the v/c ratio and delays.

### 7.2.13 100 S and 200 E

100 S and 200 E is expected to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.13$, delays $=7.5$ seconds). All movements at the intersection are expected to operate at LOS A and with v/c ratios of 0.13 or less and delays of 7.8 seconds or less.

### 7.2.14 400 S and 400 W

400 S and 400 W is expected to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.02$, delays $=1.8$ seconds). All movements at the intersection are expected to operate at LOS A and with $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios of 0.02 or less and delays 2.0 seconds or less.

### 7.2.15 100 S and Broadway

400 S and Broadway is expected to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays ( $\mathrm{max} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.08$, delays $=4.4$ seconds). All movements at the intersection are expected to operate at LOS A with low delays (v/c ratios $=0.08$ or less, delays $=9.9$ seconds or less).

### 7.2.16 400 S and 200 E

400 S and 200 E is expected to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.02$, delays $=6.0$ seconds). All movements at the intersection are expected to operate at LOS A and with $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios of 0.02 or less and delays of 8.7 seconds or less.

Table 7-2 summarizes the Synchro capacity results for the 2018 background and development traffic volumes, during the pm peak hour. The table only captures the overall intersection results; results for the individual intersection movements can be found in Appendix D.

Table 7-2
2018 Background and Development Traffic Volumes - PM Peak Hour

| Intersection | LOS | Max VIC Ratio | Delay (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Railway Avenue \& 200 E | A | 0.11 | 4.1 |
| Highway 52 \& 400 W | A | 0.03 | 1.5 |
| Highway 52 \& 400 W | A | 0.36 | 7.0 |
| Highway 52 \& Broadway | A | 0.41 | 8.7 |
| Highway 52 \& 200 E | A | 0.23 | 7.0 |
| Highway 52 \& Development \#10 Access | n/a |  |  |
| 100 N \& 400 W | A | 0.02 | 1.9 |
| 100 N \& 200 W | A | 0.15 | 8.0 |
| 100 N \& Broadway | A | 0.16 | 3.6 |
| 100 N \& 200 E | A | 0.16 | 7.9 |
| 100 S \& 400 W | A | 0.07 | 6.0 |
| 100 S \& 200 W | A | 0.08 | 7.4 |
| 100 S \& Broadway | A | 0.10 | 3.7 |
| 100 S \& 200 E | A | 0.13 | 7.5 |
| 400 S \& 400 W | A | 0.02 | 1.8 |
| 400 S \& Broadway | A | 0.08 | 4.4 |
| 400 S \& 200 E | A | 0.02 | 6.0 |
| 400 S \& Development \#10 Access | n/a |  |  |

### 7.32028 BACKGROUND AND DEVELOPMENT TRAFFIC

Similar to the 2018 horizon, an assessment of the study intersections with the background and development traffic volumes was completed to evaluate how the intersections would operate under the 2028 horizon.

With the exception of Highway 52 and Broadway, all the study intersections are expected to operate above the minimum LOS required despite the additional traffic. Highway 52 and Broadway is expected to operate at an overall intersection LOS D. This exceeds the minimum LOS threshold and indicates that improvements are required at the intersection.

Most intersection movements are expected to operate at LOS D or higher, except at Highway 52 and 200 W and Highway 52 and Broadway. Movements at these intersection are expected toexperience LOS E and LOS F respectively.

### 7.3.1 Railway Avenue and 200 E

Railway Avenue and 200 E is expected to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays ( $\max \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.20$, delays $=4.5$ seconds). The LOS for the northbound movements is expected to decrease from LOS A in the 2018 horizon to LOS B in the 2028 horizon. Movements on the eastbound and westbound approaches continue to operate at LOS A with v/c ratios of 0.11 or less and delays of 2.2 seconds or less.

### 7.3.2 Highway 52 and 400 W

Highway 52 and 400 W is expected to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays ( $\max \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.05$, delays $=1.4$ seconds). The movement LOS remain unchanged from the 2018 analysis; however the v/c ratio and delays do increase slightly.

### 7.3.3 Highway 52 and 400 W

Highway 52 and 200 W is expected to operate at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays ( $\mathrm{max} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.70$, delays $=13.0$ seconds). In 2028, the northbound movement is expected to deteriorate to LOS E with a v/c ratio of 0.72 and delay of 35.0 seconds, and the southbound movement is expected to deteriorate to LOS C with a v/c ratio of 0.37 and delays of 23.7 seconds. The poor LOS on the northbound movement can be linked to the high right-turn proportion and the delay experienced behind stopped vehicles waiting for gaps to execute a left-turn or through movement. The movement LOS remain unchanged for the remaining movements aside from slight increase in the $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio and delays.

The provision of a separate right-turn lane for the northbound approach would reduce the delay experienced by the northbound movements.

### 7.3.4 Highway 52 and Broadway

In the 2028 horizon Highway 52 and Broadway is expected to operate at an overall intersection LOS D with a maximum v/c ratio of 1.37 and delays of 81.0 seconds. The intersection LOS is expected to exceed the minimum LOS required and the $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio. The higher $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio indicates that the intersection is expected to operate above capacity. The poor overall intersection LOS can be attributed to the eastbound and westbound movements. These movements are expected to operate at LOS F with v/c ratios exceeding 1.00 and delays as high as 252.2 seconds. The northbound and southbound movements continue to operate at LOS A, with v/c ratios of 0.07 or less and delays of 2.5 seconds or less.

As mentioned in Section 7.4, changing the traffic control at this intersection to a four-way stop control would improve the LOS for the eastbound and westbound approaches. A four way stop control would help to balance out the delay across all intersection approaches and improve the overall operation of the entire intersection. The results of the individual intersections are detailed below.

### 7.3.5 Highway 52 and 200 E

Highway 52 and 200 E is expected to operate at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.52$, delays $=8.5$ seconds). The northbound and southbound movements are expected to experience a slight decrease in LOS from LOS B in the 2018 horizon to LOS in the 2028 horizon. The eastbound and westbound movements continue to operate at LOS A, with $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios of 0.04 or less and delays of 2.0 seconds or less.

### 7.3.6 Highway 52 and Development \#10 Access

Highway 52 and the access to Development \#10 is a new intersection in the 2028 horizon. The intersection is expected to operate at an overall intersection LOS A with a maximum $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.09 and delay of 1.9 seconds. All the intersection movements are expected to operate at LOS A with $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios of 0.09 or less and delays under 9.6 seconds.

### 7.3.7 100 N and 400 W

100 N and 400 W continues to operate at an overall intersection LOS A with a maximum $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.02 and delay of 1.9 seconds. All movements at the intersection are expected to operate at LOS A with low delays ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios $=0.02$ or less, delays $=8.7$ seconds or less).

### 7.3.8 100 N and 200 W

100 N and 200 W continues to operate well with an overall intersection LOS A and low delays (max $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.22$, delays $=8.6$ seconds). All movements at the intersection are expected to operate at LOS A with low delays ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios $=0.22$ or less, delays $=8.8$ seconds or less).

### 7.3.9 $\quad 100 \mathrm{~N}$ and Broadway

100 N and Broadway is expected to operatesat an overall intersection LOS B with low delays (max $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.28$, delays $=4.3$ seconds). The eastbound and westbound movements are expected to operate at LOS C and LOS B respectively and with v/c ratios of 0.28 or less and delays of 22.9 seconds or less. Both the northbound and southbound movements are expected tooperate at LOS A. The $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios and delays for these approaches are at 0.05 or less and 1.7 seconds or less.

### 7.3.10 100 N and 200 E

100 N and 200 E continues to operate well st an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.11$, delays $=8.4$ seconds). All movements continue to operate at LOS A with very slight increases in the $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios and delays.

### 7.3.11 100 S and 400 W

100 S and 400 W continues to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.09$, delays $=6.0$ seconds). All the intersection movements are expected to operate at LOS A with $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios of 0.09 or less and delays of 9.7 seconds or less.

### 7.3.12 100 S and 200 W

100 S and 200 W continues to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.13$, delay $=7.7$ seconds). All movements at the intersection continue to operate at LOS A with low delays (v/c ratios $=0.13$ or less, delays $=7.8$ seconds or less).

### 7.3.13 100 S and Broadway

100 S and Broadway continues to operate at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max v/c ratio $=0.16$, delays $=4.0$ seconds). In the 2028 horizon, the eastbound movement is expected to decrease to LOS C. The LOS for the other movements remains unchanged despite slight increases in the $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio and delays.

### 7.3.14 100 S and 200 E

100 S and 200 E continues to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.19$, delays $=8.0$ seconds). All movements at the intersection continue to operate at LOS A and with v/c ratios of 0.19 or less and delays of 8.2 seconds or less.

### 7.3.15 400 S and 400 W

400 S and 400 W continues to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.03$, delays $=1.3$ seconds). All movements at the intersection continue to operate at LOS A and with v/c ratios of 0.03 or less and delays 1.4 seconds or less.

### 7.3.16 400 S and Broadway

400 S and Broadway continues to operate well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays ( $\mathrm{max} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.15$, delays $=3.9$ seconds). The eastbound and westbound movements are expected to operate at LOS A with v/c ratios of 0.07 and delays of 2.8 seconds or less. The southbound movement is expected to operate at LOS B with a v/c ratio of 0.15 and delays of 11.1 seconds..

### 7.3.17 400 S and 200 E

400 S and 200 E continues to operates well at an overall intersection LOS A with low delays (max $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio $=0.07$, delays $=3.1$ seconds). All movements at the intersection continue to operate at LOS A and with v/c ratios of 0.07 or less and delays of 9.8 seconds or less.

### 7.3.18 400 S and Development \#10 Access

400 S and the access to Development \#10 continues to operate at an overall intersection LOS A with a maximum v/c ratio of 0.00 and delays of 0.0 seconds.

Table 7-3 summarizes the Synchro capacity results for the 2028 background and development traffic volumes, during the pm peak hour. The table only captures the overall intersection results; results for the individual intersection movements can be found in Appendix D.

Table 7-3
2028 Background and Development Traffic Volumes - PM Peak Hour

| Intersection | LOS | Max VIC Ratio | Delay (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Railway Ave \& 200 E | A | 0.20 | 4.5 |
| Highway 52 \& 400 W | A | 0.05 | 1.4 |
| Highway 52 \& 200 W | A | 0.70 | 13.0 |
| Highway 52 \& Broadway | D | 1.37 | 81.0 |
| Highway 52 \& 200 E | A | 0.52 | 8.5 |
| Highway 52 \& Development \#10 Access | A | 0.09 | 1.9 |
| 100 N \& 400 W | A | 0.02 | 1.9 |
| 100 N \& 200 W | A | 0.22 | 8.6 |
| 100 N \& Broadway | B | 0.28 | 4.3 |
| 100 N \& 200 E | A | 0.23 | 8.5 |
| 100 S \& 400 W | A | 0.09 | 6.0 |
| 100 S \& 200 W | A | 0.13 | 7.7 |
| 100 S \& Broadway | A | 0.16 | 4.0 |
| 100 S \& 200 E | A | 0.19 | 8.0 |
| 400 S \& 400 W | A | 0.03 | 1.3 |
| 400 S \& Broadway | A | 0.15 | 3.9 |
| 400 S \& 200 E | A | 0.07 | 3.1 |
| 400 S \& Development \#10 Access | A | 0.00 | - |

## Recommended Improvements

### 8.1 2018 HORIZON

The results of the traffic analysis indicate that the road network assumed for the 2018 horizon is sufficient to accommodate the total traffic volumes anticipated for that horizon. Therefore, no roadway improvements are required above and beyond the provision of the links indicated in Section 3.2 for the 2018 horizon.

### 8.2 2028 HORIZON

The results of the traffic analysis indicate that two intersections are expected to operate poorly in the 2028 horizon and require intersection improvements.

Highway 52 and 200 W requires a separate northbound right-turn lane. When a northbound right-turn lane is provided, the northbound movement is expected to operate at LOS D with a v/c ratio of 0.59 and delays of 25.0 seconds. This is a significant improvement from the LOS E with v/c ratio of 0.79 and delays of 35.0 seconds experienced without the turn lane.

Highway 52 and Broadway requires a change in traffic control from a two-way stop-control to a four-way stop-control. With the provision of a four-way stop-control, all the intersection movements are expected to operate at LOS D or higher, and with v/c ratios of 0.82 or less and delays under 32.5 seconds or less. This is a significant improvement from the two-way stop control condition, where the eastbound and westbound movements were failing and operating above capacity (highest v/c ratio $=1.37$ and longest delays $=$ 252.2 seconds).

The detailed results of the capacity analyses with the intersection improvements have been included in Appendix D.

## Transportation Issues

### 9.1 TRAFFIC SIGNALIZATION

One of the immediate transportation issue identified by the Town was the need for signalization at the intersections of Highway 52 and 200 W, Highway 52 and Broadway, Broadway and 100 N, Broadway and Church Avenue, and 100 N and 200 W . For the four major intersections identified in the road network plans, traffic signal warrants were completed for all three time horizons. Traffic signal warrants were only completed in the 2008 horizon for Broadway and Church Avenue since it was not identified as a major roadway. The traffic signal warrant calculation was completed to provide the Town with insight into whether the signal is warranted currently.

To evaluate the need to provide signalization at the intersection, the traffic signal warrant from the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) was completed. The MUTCD traffic signal warrant is a point based system that assigns priority points to an intersection based on factors such as its collision history, geometry and pedestrian and vehicle volumes. The total priority points assigned to an intersection indicate the priority to install a traffic signal. Typically, a traffic signal is warranted at an intersection when a total priority point equal or in excess of 100 is assigned.

The traffic signal warrants have been included in Appendix E. For the 2008 time frame, the traffic signal warrants were completed using the existing traffic. For the 2018 and 2028 horizons, traffic signal warrants were completed using background and development traffic. Collision data was obtained from AT for Highway 52 through the Town. The data indicated that there was one collision within the last five years at the study intersection.

The following data/assumptions were used for the signal warrant calculations:

- $\quad$ Traffic Volumes: volumes as per Figures 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, 6-1 and 6-2.
- Pedestrian volumes: As per the traffic counts provided by the Town. Pedestrian volumes were not available for Highway 52 and Broadway; therefore zero pedestrian volumes were assumed. No growth was assumed for the pedestrian volumes for the future horizons.

The results of the traffic signal warrants are summarized in Table 9-1 below for the different time horizons.

Table 9-1
Traffic Signal Warrant Results

| Intersection | Existing 2008 <br> Traffic | 2018 <br>  <br> Development <br> Traffic) | 2028 <br>  <br> Development <br> Traffic) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway 52 and 200 W | -4.69 <br> (Not warranted) | 6.62 <br> (Not warranted) | 23.68 <br> (Not warranted) |
| Highway 52 and Broadway | 0.59 <br> (Not warranted) | 14.39 <br> (Not warranted) | 36.15 <br> (Not warranted) |
| Broadway and 100 N | -6.51 <br> (Not warranted) | 5.23 <br> (Not warranted) | 14.80 <br> (Not warranted) |
| Broadway and Church Avenue | -4.72 <br> (Not warranted) | n/a | n/a |
| 100 N and 200 W | -10.81 <br> (Not warranted) | -5.55 <br> (Not warranted) | (Not warranted) |

The results of the signal warrants indicate that the traffic volumes at the above intersections do not warrant signalization in the 2008, 2018 or 2028 time horizons. As mentioned in Section 8.0, capacity issues with the intersection of Highway 52 and Broadway can be removed by changing the traffic control to a four-way stop control.

### 9.2 EXTENSION OF 400 W AND 300 S

Two long-term transportation issues identified by the Town were the extension of 400 W from Highway 52 to 400 S and the extension of 300 S from 100 W to Broadway.

AE recommends that the extension of 400 W , as shown in Figures $3-4$ and $3-5$, be implemented. 400 W is located at the Town's west end and would serve as an important link to the future developments planned within the Town. Many of the proposed developments are located in the south end, near 400 S . The extension of 400 W to connect with 400 S would provide an alternative north-south route to these developments, reducing the trips that must pass through the Town and through the residential communities. Inherently, the 400 S extension could become a vital link by providing a more direct, quicker route for commuters heading to the new developments.

The capacity analysis completed for the 2018 and 2028 horizons were completed under the assumption that the extension of 300 S was not implemented. The results indicate that the surrounding intersections continue to operate well even without the extension; therefore, AE does not recommend that the Town

## 9-2
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implement the 300 S extension. The extension of 300 S should be evaluated again in the future should the traffic patterns within the area change.

## FINAL REPORT

## 10 <br> Cost Estimate

A preliminary cost estimate of the new road construction required for the 2018 and 2028 horizons was completed using typical roadway cross-sections and pavement structures detailed in the Town of Raymond Engineering Standards (2006). The Major Collector Residential cross-section (Drawing TN-02) was assumed for both the new Arterial and Collector Road classifications and the Local Residential cross-section (Drawing TN-01) was assumed for the Local Road classification. The pavement structure for the residential roadway, comprising of 75 mm Asphaltic Concrete and 200 mm Crushed Granular Base, was assumed for all the roadways.

Unit pricing was obtained from the December 2008 AIT unit prices for Southern Alberta. Table 10-1 summarizes the unit prices used.

Table 10-1
AIT Unit Price - Southern Region - December 2008

| Construction Item | Unit Price |
| :---: | :---: |
| Excavation: |  |
| Common Excavation | $\$ 4.19 / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Waste Excavation | $\$ 10.88 / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Subgrade Preparation: |  |
| Subgrade Preparation | $\$ 1.34 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Pavement Structure: | $\$ 110.90 / \mathrm{t}$ |
| ACP - 75 mm | $\$ 23.84 / \mathrm{t}$ |
| GBC - 200 mm | $\$ 270.00 / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Curb and Gutter |  |
| Pavement Marking: | $\$ 1,041.35 / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Centre Line Painting |  |

The following assumptions regarding contingency, engineering costs and GST were assumed under both horizons:

- $15 \%$ contingency
- $15 \%$ Engineering Fee (includes contingency)
- $5 \%$ GST.

Table 10-2 presents the cost estimate for the new road construction in the 2018 and 2028 horizons. These prices reflect 2008 costs. A detailed breakdown of the cost estimate has been provided in Appendix $F$.

Table 10-2
Cost Estimate

| Construction Item | 2018 Horizon | 2028 Horizon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Arterial Roadway | $\$ 1,316,929.58$ | $\$ 1,098,223.53$ |
| Collector Roadway | $\$$ | 0.00 |
| Local Roadway | $\$ 6,331,514.97$ | $\$ 856,989.81$ |
| Contingency | $\$ 1,147,266.68$ | $\$ 865,287.15$ |
| Engineering Cost | $\$ 1,319,356.69$ | $\$ 423,075.07$ |
| GST | $\$ 505,753.40$ | $\$ 486,536.34$ |
| Total | $\$ 10,620,821.32$ | $\$ 186,505.60$ |

## 11 School Safety

One of the immediate issues that the Town needs to address is the school zone pedestrian safety. The Town is concerned about the traffic operations along 100 N , specifically the section with all three schools. The block is comprised by Highway 52 to the north, Broadway to the east, 100 N to the south and 200 W to the west and contains an elementary and a junior high school. Between 8:00 am and 9:00 am and 2:00 pm and 3:00 pm, all boundary roads experience increased traffic and pedestrian volumes. The increased traffic and pedestrian volumes can be attributed to the student drop off/pick up and walking activities. The Town's immediate concern is to improve the school zone traffic operations and reduce the pedestrian/vehicular conflicts along the boundary road intersections.

In order to analyze the traffic operations along the boundary road intersections, turning movement counts and video surveillance at the following intersections were collected:

- Highway 52/200 W
- 100 S/200 W
- 100 S/Broadway
- Highway 52/Broadway.

Traffic analysis at the above noted intersections were conducted for the 2008, 2018 and 2028 horizon and the results are illustrated in Sections 7 through 7.5 of this report. The capacity analysis results indicate that all boundary road intersections are expected to operate at excellent levels of service with low delays under the 2008, 2018 and 2028 horizon years.

Signal warrant analysis at the above noted intersections were conducted and are illustrated in Section 9 of this report. The warrant analysis indicates that traffic volumes including pedestrians at the above noted intersections do not warrant signalization in the 2008, 2018 or 2028 time horizons.

Video surveillance provided by the Town was reviewed and following points were observed:

- The beginning of the school zone was clearly indicated, according to the MUTCDC.
- The school zone was marked with the School Area sign (WC-1), according to the MUTCDC.
- In addition to the appropriate area warning signs, the school zone was marked with a sign denoting reduced speed limit and a sign denoting the end of the school zone, according to the MUTCDC.
- A RB-1 (Maximum Speed sign) below the WC-1 (Fluorescent yellow in colour), displaying the reduced speed limit was provided at the school zone, in accordance with the MUTCDC.
- RB-1 (Maximum Speed sign) at the end of the zone, reinstating the original speed limit was provided, in accordance with the MUTCDC.
- End school zone sign, yellow in colour was used on local roads in residential areas, in accordance with the MUTCDC.
- Pavement markings were not used to supplement the traffic signs with in the School area, in accordance with the MUTCDC guidelines.
- No speed transition zone is required and provided further upstream of the existing school zone, as the posted speed limit is less than $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.
- Although crossing guards were operational at 100 S/Broadway intersection during the am peak hour of the school zone, they were not effective. It was observed that the crossing guards were standing at the northwest corner of 100 S/Broadway intersection, while pedestrians were crossing the intersection without their guidance.
- Driver behaviour at 100 S/Broadway intersection was unacceptable. During the video surveillance review it was found that many drivers didn't stop at all or come to a complete stop at the stop control while clearing the intersection. Moreover it was observed that many drivers did not wait at the stop controlled intersection for the pedestrians to clear the intersection.

In order to improve the pedestrian safety and reduce the potential pedestrian/vehicular conflict points at the study intersections mentioned above, the following recommendations have been proposed:

- The study intersections are currently operating at excellent levels of service as far as the traffic operations are concerned.
- The intersections are not warranted for signalization in the 2008, 2018 or 2028 time horizons.
- Zebra pavement markings should be used to supplement the traffic signs and add emphasis to the crosswalk. The details of the pavement markings through the school zone should be implemented in accordance with the pavement marking schemes described in the MUTCDC.
- Promote driver awareness of the school zones by providing traffic signs and pavement markings.
- Educate students on pedestrian safety at the school zone crossing.
- Appoint adult crossing guards at the study intersections and/or at roadway segments to monitor young children crossing the intersections during the peak hours of the school zone.
- Alternatively retrain the school safety patrol. School safety patrol members should be selected from the upper grade levels. Patrol members can be trained in traffic operations and pedestrian safety by RCMP.
- Encourage parents to be involved in discussing hazards, obstacles and crossing behaviours.
- Encourage teachers to help children to be aware of the vehicle/pedestrian conflicts and practice the correct crossing behaviours as a school activity.
- Educate the area drivers about the school zones and traffic operations.
- Enforce the study intersections with the help of RCMP officers during the peak hours of the school zone to stop erratic manoeuvres and/or to punish aggressive drivers.

Regular communications between school officials, law enforcement, parents, City Officials and school transportation personnel are critical to promote safe operations with in the school zones.

## Recommendations and Conclusions

This report documents the analysis undertaken to complete the Transportation Master Plan for the Town of Raymond. At the project initiation meeting, the Town provided AE with a list of immediate and long-term transportation issues that need to be addressed along with plans for the future expansion of the Town in terms of land annexation and developments. AE's main objective for the study was to develop a TMP which clearly defines the Town's transportation road network within the existing and future Town boundaries.

Figures 2-2, 3-4 and 3-5 present the Road Network Plans developed by AE for the Town of Raymond in the existing (2008) and future (2018 and 2028) time horizons. The road network plans outline the major roadways and their classifications in each time horizon and were developed with consideration for the land use, the function and connectivity of the roadways.

The road network plans also identify the major intersections within the Town. These intersections were assessed in terms of operational capacity for the different horizons. The results of the capacity analysis indicate that the existing road network, with the extension of 400 W from Highway 52 to 400 S , can accommodate the total traffic (background traffic and development traffic) in the 2008 and 2018 time horizons. During the 2028 horizon intersection improvements are required; in the form of a separate northbound right turn lane at Highway 52 and 200 W and a four-way stop control at Highway 52 and Broadway. The capacity analysis also revealed that the road network operates well without the extension of 300 S , confirming that this extension is not required.

Traffic signal warrants were undertaken to determine the need for traffic signals at the intersections of Highway 52 and 200 W, Highway 52 and Broadway, Broadway and 100 N, Broadway and Church Avenue, and 100 N and 200 W . The traffic signal warrants indicate that traffic signals are not warranted at the intersections in the existing or future horizons.

To improve the pedestrian safety at the study intersections within the school zone, regular communications between school zones officials, City officials, parents, law enforcement officials and school transportation personnel are required.

The cost estimate for the new road construction is $\$ 11$ million (approx.) in the 2018 horizon year and $\$ 4$ million (approx.) in the 2028 horizon year, respectively.

## A

## Appendix A - Traffic Counts

Town of Raymond: Summary of Traffic Counts $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Project No: } & \text { 2007-3491 } \\ \text { Date Created: } & \text { April 24, 2008 } \\ & \text { January 2009 }\end{array}$

## $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Location: } & \text { Church Ave \& 200 W } \\ \text { Date: } & \text { Thursday, April 10, 2008 }\end{array}$


Town of Raymond: Summary of Traffic Counts $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Project No: } & \begin{array}{ll}\text { 2007~42 } \\ \text { Date Created: } \\ \text { Date Revised: } & \text { Aprill } 24,2008 \\ \text { January 2009 }\end{array}\end{array}$

## $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Location: } & \text { Church Avenve \& } 100 \mathrm{~W} \\ \text { Date: } & \text { Thursday, Apil } 10,2003\end{array}$


Dale. Wednesday, April 9, 2008

Town of Raymond: Summary of Traffic Counts $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Project Not: } & \text { Apreated: } \\ \text { Apri24, } 20008 \\ \text { Danuary 2009 }\end{array}$

## $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Locationt } & \text { Church Avenue \& Broadway } \\ \text { Date: } & \text { Tuescay, April 8, 2006 }\end{array}$


Date: Thursday, Apmi3 3, 2008

Town of Raymond: Summary of Traffic Counts

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Locaton: } & \begin{array}{l}\text { 100 \& \& 200 W } \\ \text { Date: }\end{array} \\ \text { Wedrestay, April9, 2008 }\end{array}$

Town of Raymond: Summary of Traffic Counts Project No:
Date Created:
Date Revised:
Location
Date;
100 N \& 100 W
Wednesday, April 9, 2008

Peak Hour (Truck)
Truck Percentage \#DIVIOI


Town of Raymond: Summary of Traffic Counts $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Projecteno: Created: } & \begin{array}{l}\text { April 24, 2008 } \\ \text { Date } \\ \text { Date Revised: }\end{array} \\ & \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Location } & \text { 100 N Z Broadway } \\ \text { Date: } & \text { Thurscay, Apri } 3,2008\end{array}$

$8.11 \%$
Wednes
Date: Wednesday, April 2, 2008

Town of Raymond: Summary of Traffic Counts
Droject No:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Location: } & \text { 200 N \& 200 W } \\ \text { Date: } & \text { Thursday, April 3, } 2008\end{array}$

Truck Percentage $\mathbf{8 . 6 4 \%}$

Town of Raymond: Summary of Traffic Counts
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Project No: } \\ \begin{array}{ll}\text { Date reated } \\ \text { Date Revised: }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { April } 24,24,2008 \\ \text { January } 2009\end{array}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Location } & \begin{array}{l}\text { 200 Nă 100w } \\ \text { Dale: }\end{array} \\ \end{array}$

首

Produced: 09-Mar-2007 By ConnerStone Solutions Inc.

Reference No．： 105040 Intersection of：
2006 AADT／ASDT ESTIMATES URNING mOVEMENT ABBREVIATIONS
NR：Traffic From North Turning Right
NL：Traffic From North Turning Left
NT：Traffic From North Proceeding Through
SR：Traffic From South Turning Right
SL：Traffic From South Turning Left
ST：Traffic From South Proceeding Through
ER：Traffic From East Turning Right
EL：Traffic From East Turning Left
ET：Traffic From East Proceeding Through
WR：Traffic From West Turning Right
WL：Traffic From West Turning Left
WT：Traffic From West Proceeding Through
TURNING MOVEMENT ABBREVIATIONS

㡙采号
5 \＆ 52 S OF WELLING





Turning Movement Summary Diagram


| North On 845 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicle Type | Vol | $\%$ |
| A: Passenger Vehicle | 2191 | 93.6 |
| B: Recreational Vehicle | 17 | 0.7 |
| C: Bus | 2 | 0.1 |
| D: Single Unit Truck | 78 | 3.3 |
| E: Tractor Trailer Unit | 52 | 2.2 |



2006 AADT / ASDT ESTIMATES
Intersection of:
$52 \& 845$ IN RA
$52 \& 845$ IN RAYMOND


| ASDT | 2590 | AADT 2340 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Reference No.: 997119 ,


N


肴







2006 AADT / ASDT ESTIMATES
 =* - -



| B: Recreation |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| C: Bus |  |
| D: Single Unit Truck |  |
| E: Tractor Trailer Unit |  |
| ASDT 690 |  | $\qquad$




Turning Movement Summary Diagram

2006 p.m. 100th Highest Hour ESTIMATES

Reference No.: 997153
Intersection of:
845 \& LOCAL RD 32-06-20-400000000



## Town of Raymond

Project No: 2007-3491
Date Revised: March 28, 2008

| N-S Street | E-W Street | Traffic Control | Controlled Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hwy 5 | Hwy 52 (200N) | n/a | n/a |
| Hwy 844 | Hwy 52 (200N) | - | - |
|  | 1 Ave S (100 S) | - | - |
|  | 4 Ave S (400 S) | Stop | e-w (WB only) |
| 4 St W (400 W) | 1 Ave S (100 S) | n/a | n/a |
|  | 2 Ave S (200 S) | n/a | n/a |
|  | 3 Ave S (300 S) | n/a | n/a |
|  | 4 Ave S (400 S) | n/a | n/a |
| RR 205 | 4 Ave S (400 S) | - | - |
| 3 St W (300 W) | Hwy 52 (200N) | Stop | e-w |
|  | 1 Ave N (100 N) | Yield | n-s (SB only) |
| 2 St W (200 W) | 4 Ave N (400 N) | Yield | e-w |
|  | 3 Ave N (300 N) | Yield | e-w |
|  | Hwy 52 (200N) | Stop | n-s |
|  | 1 Ave N (100 N) | Stop | all |
|  | Church Ave | Yield | e-w (WB only) |
|  | 1 Ave S (100 S) | Stop | all |
|  | 2 Ave S (200 S) | Stop | n -s |
|  | 3 Ave S (300 S) | - | - |
|  | 4 Ave S (400 S) | - | - |
| 1 St W (100 W) | Hwy 52 (200N) | Stop | n-s (SB only) |
|  | 1 Ave N (100 N) | Stop | n -s (NB only) |
|  | Church Ave | Stop | n -s |
|  | 1 Ave S (100 S) | Yield | n -s |
|  | 2 Ave S (200 S) | Yield | n -s |
|  | 3 Ave S (300 S) | Yield | e-w (EB only) |
| Broadway | Hwy 845 (Railway Avenue) | Stop | n-s (SB only) |
|  | 4 Ave N (400 N) | Stop | e-w |
|  | 3 Ave N (300 N) | Stop | e-w |
|  | Hwy 52 (200N) | Stop | e-w |
|  | 1 Ave N (100 N) | Stop | e-w |
|  | Park Ave | Stop | e-w (EB only) |
|  | 1 Ave S (100 S) | Stop | e-w |
|  | 2 Ave S (200 S) | Stop | e-w |
|  | 4 Ave S (400 S) | Yield | n-s (SB only) |
| 1 St E (100 E) | 4 Ave N (400 N) | Yield | e-w |
|  | 3 Ave N (300 N) | Yield | e-w |
|  | Hwy 52 (200N) | Stop | n -s |
|  | 1 Ave N (100 N) | Yield | n -s |
|  | Park Ave | Yield | n -s |
|  | 1 Ave S (100 S) | Yield | n -s |
|  | 2 Ave S (200 S) | Yield | n -s |
| $2 \mathrm{St} \mathrm{E} \mathrm{(200} \mathrm{E)}$ | Hwy 845 | Stop | n -s (NB only) |
|  | 4 Ave N (400 N) | Stop | all |
|  | 3 Ave N (300 N) | Yield | e-w |
|  | Hwy 52 (200N) | Stop | n-s |
|  | 1 Ave N (100 N) | Stop | all |
|  | Park Ave | Stop | e-w (EB only) |
|  | 1 Ave S (100 S) | Stop | all |
|  | 2 Ave S (200 S) | Stop | all |
|  | 4 Ave S (400 S) | Stop | n-s (SB only) |
| 3 St E (300 E) | Township Rd. 70 | - | - |
|  | Hwy 845 | Stop | n-s (NB only) |
|  | 4 Ave N (400 N) | - | - |
|  | 3 Ave N (300 N) | Yield | e-w (EB only) |
|  | Hwy 52 (200N) | Stop | n -S |
|  | 1 Ave N (100 N) | Yield | n -s (SB only) |
|  | 1 Ave S (100 S) | Stop | n -s (NB only) |
|  | 2 Ave S (200 S) | - | - |
| $4 \mathrm{St} \mathrm{E} \mathrm{(400} \mathrm{E)}$ | 2 Ave S (200 S) | Stop | n-s (NB only) |
| Range Road 194 | Hwy 52 (200N) | - | - |

## Appendix C - Level of Service Definitions for Unsignalized Intersections

## LEVEL OF SERVICE DEFINITIONS AT UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS ${ }^{(2)}$

The level of service criteria for unsignalized intersections are given in the table below. As used here, total delay is defined as the total elapsed time from when a vehicle stops at the end of the queue until the vehicle departs from the stop line; this time includes the time required for the vehicle to travel from the last-in-queue position to the first-in-queue position. The average total delay for any particular minor movement is a function of the service rate or capacity of the approach and the degree of saturation.

## Level of Service

A

B

C Average traffic delays occur. Operations are generally stable, but drivers emerging from the minor street may experience difficulty in completing their movement. This may occasionally impact on the stability of flow on the major street.

D Long traffic delays occur. Motorists emerging from the minor street experience significant restriction and frustration. Drivers on the major street will experience congestion and delay as drivers emerging from the minor street interfere with the major through movements.

E Very long traffic delays occur. Operations approach the $>35$ and $\leq 50$ capacity of the intersection.

F Saturation occurs, with vehicle demand exceeding the available $>50$ capacity. Very long traffic delays occur.
F Saturation occurs, with vehicle demand exceeding the available $>50$

Average Total Delay (sec/veh) $\leq 10$ $>10$ and $\leq 15$ $>15$ and $\leq 25$
$>25$ and $\leq 35$

## Appendix D - Synchro Capacity Analysis Results



| Project No： Date Created： Date Revised | $2007-3491$ $6 / 1712008$ 1／14／2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| －ASSUMED NETWORK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mound |  |  | 2018 | round |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | round |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | $\underset{\substack{\text { Intersection } \\ \text { Approach }}}{ }$ | Movement | Configuration | Trafic Control | Volume | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \times \\ & \frac{0}{x} \\ & \frac{x}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Volume | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { y } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{\Omega}{3} \\ & \text { 高 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Volume | $\stackrel{\square}{9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \frac{0}{x} \\ & \frac{x}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Volume | $\stackrel{\square}{9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{y}{x} \\ & \frac{x}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{2} \\ & \text { 会 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Volur | $\stackrel{\square}{9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { y } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Overall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | 0.03 | 0.0 |  | A | 0.09 | 1.9 |
|  | Eastbound | $\xrightarrow{\text { Left }}$ Through | TR | Free |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 | A | 0.03 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0}$ | 53 | A | 0.09 | ${ }_{0} 0$ |
|  |  | Right |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | ． | ${ }^{82}$ | A | $\stackrel{0}{0.09}$ | 0.0 |
| Highway 52 \＆Development |  | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Westbound | ${ }_{\text {Through }}$ | LT | Free |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 | A | 0.00 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | ${ }^{57}$ | A | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  |  | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | － | 48 | A | $\stackrel{-}{0.06}$ | 9.6 |
|  | Northbound | Through | LR | Stop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Right |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | Overall |  | － | － |  |  |  |  |  | A | 0.02 | 3.7 |  | A | 0.02 | 1.9 |  | A | 0.02 | 1.9 |  | A | 0.02 | 1.9 |
|  |  | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | A | 0.02 | ${ }^{8.6}$ | 5 | A | 0.02 | 8.6 | 6 | A | 0.02 | 8.7 | 6 | A | 0.02 | 8.7 |
|  | Westbound | $\underset{\text { Through }}{\text { Ribht }}$ | LR | Stop |  |  |  |  | 10 | A | 0.02 | ${ }^{8.6}$ | 10 | A | 0.02 | ${ }^{8.6}$ | 12 | A | 0.02 | 8.7 | 12 | A | 0.02 | 8.7 |
|  |  | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $100 \mathrm{~N} \& 400 \mathrm{~W}$ | Northbound | Through | TR | Free |  |  |  |  | 15 | A | 0.01 | 0.0 | 15 | A | 0.01 | 0.0 | 18 | A | 0.01 | 0.0 | 18 | A | 0.01 | 0.0 |
|  |  | Right |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | A | 0.00 | 0.0 | 5 | A | 0.00 | 0.0 | 6 | A | 0.00 | 0.0 | 6 | A | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  | Southbound | Through | LT | Free |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | 0.00 | 0.6 | 66 | A | 0.00 | 0.6 |  | A | 0.00 |  |
|  | Overall | Right | ． | － |  | A | 0.09 | ${ }^{7.6}$ | － | A | $\frac{0.00}{0.12}$ | $\stackrel{2.4}{7.8}$ | - | A | 0.15 | 8.0 | － | A | 0.19 | ${ }^{8.3}$ | － | A | 0.22 | ${ }^{8.6}$ |
|  |  | Left |  |  | 35 | A | 0.08 | ${ }^{7} 7.7$ | ${ }^{43}$ | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.10}$ | ${ }_{8.0}$ | ${ }^{43}$ | A | 0.10 | ${ }^{8.1}$ | 53 | A | $\frac{0.13}{0.13}$ | ${ }^{8.5}$ | 53 | A | 0.14 | ${ }_{8}^{8.6}$ |
|  | Eastound | Through | LTR | Stop | 25 | A | 0.08 | 7.7 | 31 | A | 0.10 | 8.0 | 31 | A | 0.10 | ${ }^{8.1}$ | 38 | A | 0.13 | 8.5 | ${ }^{38}$ | A | 0.14 | ${ }^{8.6}$ |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 1 | A | 0.08 | 7.7 | 1 | A | 0.10 | 8.0 | 1 | A | 0.10 | 8.1 | 2 | A | 0.13 | 8.5 | 2 | A | 0.14 | 8.6 |
|  |  | Left |  |  | 2 | A | 0.06 | 7.2 | 2 | A | 0.07 | 7.4 | 3 | A | 0.07 | 7.6 | 4 | A | 0.10 | 7.8 | 4 | A | 0.10 | ${ }^{8.0}$ |
|  | Westbound | Through | LTR | Stop | 10 | A | 0.06 | 7.2 | ${ }^{12}$ | A | 0.07 | ${ }^{7.4}$ | 12 | ， | 0.07 | ${ }^{7} .6$ | ${ }^{15}$ | A | 0.10 | ${ }^{7} .8$ | ${ }^{15}$ | A | 0.10 | ${ }^{8.0}$ |
| $100 \mathrm{~N} \& 200 \mathrm{~W}$ |  | Right |  |  | 34 | A | 0.06 | 7.2 | 42 | A | 0.07 | ${ }^{7.4}$ | ${ }^{43}$ | A | 0.07 | 7.6 | 53 | A | 0.10 | 7.8 | 54 | A | 0.10 | 8.0 |
|  |  | Left |  |  | 4 | A | 0.07 | ${ }^{7} .6$ | 5 | A | 0.09 | 7.8 | 5 | A | 0.15 | 8.1 | 6 | A | 0.19 | 8.5 | 6 | A | 0.22 | ${ }^{8.8}$ |
|  | Northbund | Through | LTR | Stop | 50 | A | 0.07 | ${ }^{7} .6$ | 62 | A | 0.09 | ${ }^{7.8}$ | 102 | A | 0.15 | ${ }^{8.1}$ | 125 | A | 0.19 | ${ }^{8.5}$ | 146 | A | 0.22 | ${ }^{8.8}$ |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 3 | A | 0.07 | ${ }^{7} .6$ | 1 | A | 0.09 | ${ }^{7.8}$ | 5 | A | 0.15 | ${ }^{8.1}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | A | 0.19 | 8.5 | ${ }_{6}^{67}$ | A | 0.22 | ${ }^{8.8}$ |
|  |  | Left |  |  | ${ }^{17}$ | A | 0.09 | 7.7 | ${ }_{21}^{21}$ | A | 0.12 | 7.9 | ${ }^{22}$ | A | ${ }^{0.12}$ | ${ }^{8.0}$ | ${ }^{27}$ | A | 0.15 | ${ }^{8.3}$ | ${ }^{27}$ | A | 0.18 | ${ }^{8.6}$ |
|  | Southbound | Through | LTR | Stop | 40 | A | 0.09 | 7.7 | 49 | A | 0.12 | 7.9 | 49 | A | 0.12 | 8.0 | 61 | A | 0.15 | 8.3 | 80 | A | 0.18 | 8.6 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 15 | A | 0.09 | 7.7 | 20 | A | 0.12 | 7.9 | 20 | A | 0.12 | ${ }^{8.0}$ | 24 | A | 0.15 | ${ }^{8.3}$ | 24 | － | 0.18 | 8.6 |
|  | Overall |  | － | － |  | A | 0.09 | ${ }^{3.7}$ |  | A | 0.12 | 4.0 |  | A | 0.16 | ${ }^{3.6}$ |  | A | 0.25 | 4.2 |  | B | 0.28 | ${ }_{4} .3$ |
|  |  | Left |  |  | ${ }^{43}$ | B | 0.09 | ${ }^{12.0}$ | ${ }_{5}^{53}$ | B | 0.12 | 13.4 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | c | ${ }_{0}^{0.16}$ | $\begin{array}{r}16.2 \\ \hline 162\end{array}$ | ${ }^{65}$ | C | 0.25 | ${ }_{20.8}^{20.8}$ | ${ }_{6}^{65}$ | c | ${ }_{0}^{0.28}$ | ${ }_{22,9} 22$. |
|  | Eastound | Through | LTR | Stop | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 2 | C | ${ }^{0.16}$ | ${ }^{16.2}$ | 2 | C | ${ }_{0}^{0.25}$ | ${ }^{20.8}$ | 2 | C | ${ }_{0}^{0.28}$ | ${ }_{22,9}^{22.9}$ |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 2 | － | 0.09 | 12.0 | 2 | B | 0.12 | ${ }^{13,4}$ | 2 | c | 0.16 | 16.2 | 3 | c | 0.25 | 20.8 | 3 | C | 0.28 | 22.9 |
|  | Westbound | $\stackrel{\text { Left }}{\text { Through }}$ | LTR | Stop | $\stackrel{2}{0}$ | A | ${ }^{0.05}$ | 9.0 | $\stackrel{2}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { A }}{ }$ | 0.06 | ${ }^{9.2}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | 0.08 <br> 0.08 | 9.9 9.9 | $\stackrel{5}{2}$ | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 0.11 0.11 | 10.4 <br> 10.4 | 7 | B | 0.13 0.13 | 11.2 <br> 11.2 <br> 1.2 |
| 100 N \＆Broadway |  | Right |  |  | 42 | A | 0.05 | 9.0 | 52 | A | 0.06 | 9.2 | 54 | A | 0.08 | 9.9 | 66 | B | 0.11 | 10.4 | ${ }^{68}$ | B | 0.13 | 11.2 |
|  |  | Left |  |  | 6 | A | 0.00 | 0.0 | 7 | A | 0.01 | 0.0 | 7 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 9 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 9 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 |
|  | Northound | Through | LTR | Free | 80 | A | 0.00 | 0.5 | ${ }^{98}$ | A | 0.01 | 0.5 | 150 | A | 0.01 | 0.4 | 185 | A | 0.01 | 0.4 | 208 | A | 0.01 | 0.4 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 6 | A | 0.00 | 0.5 | 7 | A | 0.01 | 0.5 | 9 | A | 0.01 | 0.4 | 12 | A | 0.01 | 0.4 | 12 | A | 0.01 | 0.4 |
|  |  | Left |  |  | 40 | A | ${ }^{0.03}$ | 0.2 | ${ }^{49}$ | A | 0.04 | ${ }^{0.3}$ | ${ }^{51}$ | A | 0.04 | ${ }^{0.4}$ | ${ }^{63}$ | A | 0.05 | 0.5 | ${ }^{63}$ | A | 0.05 | 0.5 |
|  | Southbund | Through | LTR | Free | ${ }^{90}$ | A | 0.03 | 1.9 | 111 | A | 0.04 | ${ }^{2} .0$ | 190 | A | 0.04 | 1.6 | ${ }_{2}^{233}$ | A | 0.05 | ${ }_{1}^{1.8}$ | ${ }_{2}^{253}$ | A | 0.05 | 1.7 |
|  | Overall | Right | － | － | 40 | A | 0.03 0.07 | 1.9 <br> 7.4 | 49 | A | $\stackrel{0.04}{0.09}$ | 2.0 <br> 7.6 | 49 | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.04}$ | $\stackrel{1.6}{7.9}$ | 61 | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | 0.05 0.20 | ${ }_{8}^{1.8}$ | 61 | A | ${ }^{0.025}$ | ${ }_{8}^{1.7}$ |
|  |  | Left |  |  | 15 | A | 0.06 | 7.5 | 18 | A | 0.07 | ${ }^{7.7}$ | 18 | A | 0.08 | 7.9 | 23 | A | 0.11 | 8.2 | 23 | A | 0.11 | 8.4 |
|  | Eastound | Through | LTR | Stop | 26 | A | 0.06 | 7.5 | 32 | A | 0.07 | 7.7 | 38 | A | 0.08 | 7.9 | 47 | A | 0.11 | 8.2 | ${ }^{47}$ | A | 0.11 | 8.4 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 5 | A | ${ }^{0.06}$ | ${ }^{7} .5$ | 6 | A | 0.07 | 7.7 |  | A | 0.08 | 7.9 | 8 | A | 0.11 | ${ }^{8.2}$ | 8 | A | 0.11 | 8.4 |
|  | Westbound | $\stackrel{\text { Left }}{\text { Through }}$ | LTR | Stop | ${ }^{5}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{A}}$ | 0.05 0.05 0 | 7.3 <br> 7.3 | ${ }_{31}^{6}$ | A | 0.07 0.07 | 7.5 <br> 7.5 | ${ }^{77}$ | A | 0.08 <br> 0.08 | 7.8 <br> 7.8 | $\stackrel{9}{45}$ | A ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 0.10 0.10 | ${ }^{8.1}{ }_{8.1}^{81}$ | ${ }_{4}^{11}$ | A | 0.11 0.11 | 8.2 <br> 8.2 |
| $100 \mathrm{~N} \& 200 \mathrm{E}$ |  | Right |  |  | 14 | A | 0.05 | 7.3 | 17 | A | 0.07 | 7.5 | 18 | A | 0.08 | 7.8 | 22 | ， | 0.10 | ${ }^{8.1}$ | 24 | A | 0.11 | 8.2 |
|  |  | Left |  |  | 5 | A | 0.07 | 7.5 | 6 | A | 0.09 | ${ }^{7} .6$ | 6 | A | 0.16 | ${ }^{8.1}$ | ， | A | 0.20 | 8.5 | 8 | A | 0.23 | ${ }^{8.8}$ |
|  | Northbund | Through | LTR | Stop | 45 | A | 0.07 | 7.5 | 55 | A | 0.09 | 7.6 | 107 | A | 0.16 | ${ }^{8.1}$ | ${ }^{132}$ | A | 0.20 | ${ }^{8.5}$ | 154 | A | 0.23 | ${ }^{8.8}$ |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 5 | A | 0.07 | 7.5 | 6 | A | 0.09 | 7.6 | 7 | A | 0.16 | 8.1 |  | A | 0.20 | ${ }^{8.5}$ | 9 | A | 0.23 | ${ }^{8.8}$ |
|  |  | Left |  |  | 15 | A | 0.07 | 7.4 | 18 | A | 0.09 | 7.6 | 19 | A | 0.11 | 7.8 | 24 | A | 0.14 | ${ }^{8.2}$ | 24 | A | 0.17 | ${ }^{8.4}$ |
|  | Southound | Through | LTR | Stop | 30 | A | 0.07 | ${ }^{7} .4$ | 37 | A | 0.09 | 7.6 | ${ }^{47}$ | A | 0.11 | ${ }^{7} 8$ | ${ }^{58}$ | A | 0.14 | ${ }^{8.2}$ | ${ }^{78}$ | A | 0.17 | 8.4 |
| $100 \mathrm{~S} \& 400 \mathrm{~W}$ |  | Right |  |  | 14 | A | 0.07 | 7.4 | 17 | A | 0.09 | 7.6 | 17 | A | 0.11 | 7.8 | 21 | A | 0.14 | 8.2 | 21 | A | 0.17 | 8.4 |
|  | Overall | Left |  |  | N／A |  |  |  | ${ }_{3}$ | A | 0.01 0.00 | 5.1 <br> 0.0 | 3 | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | 0.07 0.00 | 6.0 0.0 | 4 | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 0.09 <br> 0.00 | 6.0 0.0 0 | $\stackrel{-}{4}$ | A | 0.09 <br> 0.00 |  |
|  | Eastbund | Through | LTR | Free |  |  |  |  | 4 | A | $\stackrel{0.00}{0.00}$ | ${ }_{2} .2$ | 4 | A | 0.00 | 0.7 | 5 | A | $\stackrel{0}{0.00}$ | 0.7 | 5 | A | 0.00 | 0.7 |
|  |  | Right |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | A | 0.00 | 2.2 | 26 | A | 0.00 | 0.7 | 32 | A | 0.00 | 0.7 | 32 | A | 0.00 | 0.7 |
|  | Westbound | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | A | 0.00 | 0.0 | 3 | A | 0.00 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 4 | A | 0.00 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 4 | A | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $\frac{\text { Through }}{\text { Right }}$ | LTR | Free |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{3}^{4}$ | A | 0.00 0.00 | ${ }_{2.2}^{2.2}$ | ${ }_{3}^{4}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | 0.00 0.00 | ${ }_{2.2}^{2.2}$ | 5 4 | A | 0.00 0.00 | ${ }_{2.3}^{2.3}$ | 5 4 | A | 0.00 0.00 | ${ }_{2.3}^{2.3}$ |
|  |  | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{+}$ | A | 0.01 | ${ }_{9}^{2.0}$ | 1 | A | 0.01 | ${ }_{9} 9.1$ | 4 | A | $\stackrel{0}{0.01}$ | ${ }_{9.2}$ |  | A | 0.01 | 9.2 |
|  | Northbound | Through | LTR | Stop |  |  |  |  | 4 | A | 0.01 | 9.0 | 4 | A | 0.01 | 9.1 | 5 | ， | 0.01 | 9.2 |  | A | 0.01 | 9.2 |
|  |  | Right |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | 0.01 | 9.0 | 1 | A | 0.01 | 9.1 |  | A | 0.01 | 9.2 | I | A | 0.01 | 9.2 |
|  | Southound | ${ }_{\text {Through }}$ | LTR | Stop |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{2}^{4}$ | A | $\stackrel{0.01}{0.01}$ | 8.7 <br> 8.7 | 46 | A | $\stackrel{0.07}{0.07}$ | 9.5 9.5 | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | A | 0.09 0.09 | 9.7 9.7 | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ \hline \\ \hline\end{array}$ | A | 0.09 0.09 | ${ }^{9.7}$ |
|  |  | Right |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | A | 0.01 | 8.7 | 4 | A | 0.07 | 9.5 | 5 | A | 0.09 | 9.7 | 5 | A | 0.09 | 9.7 |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Town of Raymond Project No Date Created: Date Revised: \& 2007-3491
\(6 / 17 / 2008\)
\(1 / 14 / 2009\) \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline - ASSumed network - \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 2008 \& ground \& \& \& \& kground \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& mound \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Intersection \& \[
\underset{\substack{\text { Intersection } \\ \text { Approach }}}{ }
\] \& Movement \& Configuration \& Trafic Control \& Volume \& \(\stackrel{\square}{3}\) \&  \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { 合 } \\
\& \text { B. } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& Volume \& \% \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \stackrel{u}{x} \\
\& \substack{x \\
\hline \\
\hline} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { 苞 } \\
\& \text { an } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& Volume \& \(\stackrel{\square}{\square}\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \sum_{x}^{x} \\
\& \frac{x}{x} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \&  \& Volume \& \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \stackrel{0}{x} \\
\& \stackrel{x}{x} \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \&  \& Volume \& \(\stackrel{\square}{9}\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { U } \\
\& 0 \\
\& 0
\end{aligned}
\] \&  \\
\hline \& Overall \& \& \& \& \& A \& 0.05 \& 7.1 \& \& A \& 0.07 \& 7.2 \& \& A \& 0.08 \& \({ }^{7.4}\) \& \& A \& 0.10 \& 7.5 \& \& A \& 0.13 \& 7.7 \\
\hline \& \& Left \& \& \& \({ }_{1}^{12}\) \& A \& \({ }^{0.03}\) \& \(\stackrel{7.3}{73}\) \& \({ }_{7}^{15}\) \& \({ }_{\text {A }}\) \& \({ }_{0}^{0.03}\) \& 7.4 \& \({ }_{7}^{15}\) \& \({ }_{\text {A }}\) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
0.03 \\
0.03 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \(\begin{array}{r}7.5 \\ \hline 75\end{array}\) \& \({ }^{18}\) \& A \& 0.04
0.04

0 \& ${ }_{7}^{7.6}$ \& ${ }^{18}$ \& A \& ${ }_{0}^{0.04}$ \& 7.7 <br>
\hline \& Eastound \& $\underset{\substack{\text { Through } \\ \text { Ribht }}}{ }$ \& LTR \& Stop \& 6 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.03}$ \& 7.3
7.3 \& $\frac{7}{2}$ \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 0.03
0.03

0.0 \& | 7.4 |
| :--- |
| 7.4 | \& $\frac{7}{2}$ \& A \& 0.03

0.03
0 \& 7.5
7.5 \& ${ }_{3}^{9}$ \& A \& 0.04
0.04

0.04 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
7.6 <br>
\hline 7.6

 \& $\stackrel{9}{3}$ \& A \& 

0.04 <br>
0.04 <br>
\hline

 \& 

7.7 <br>
\hline 77 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline \& \& Left \& \& \& 2 \& A \& 0.04 \& 6.8 \& 2 \& A \& $\stackrel{0}{0.05}$ \& 6.9 \& 2 \& A \& $\stackrel{0.05}{0.0}$ \& ${ }_{7.0}^{7}$ \& 3 \& A \& $\stackrel{0.07}{ }$ \& ${ }_{7} 7.2$ \& 3 \& A \& 0.07 \& 7.3 <br>
\hline \& Westbound \& Through \& LTR \& Stop \& 7 \& A \& 0.04 \& ${ }^{6.8}$ \& 9 \& A \& 0.05 \& 6.9 \& 9 \& A \& 0.05 \& 7.0 \& 11 \& A \& 0.07 \& 7.2 \& 11 \& A \& 0.07 \& 7.3 <br>
\hline 100 S \& 200 W \& \& Right \& \& \& 30 \& A \& ${ }^{0.04}$ \& 6.8 \& ${ }^{37}$ \& A \& ${ }^{0.05}$ \& 6.9 \& ${ }^{37}$ \& A \& ${ }^{0.05}$ \& 7.0 \& ${ }^{45}$ \& A \& 0.07 \& 7.2 \& ${ }^{45}$ \& A \& 0.07 \& 7.3 <br>
\hline \& \& Left \& \& \& 1 \& A \& ${ }^{0.02}$ \& 7.1 \& 1 \& A \& 0.03 \& 7.2 \& 1 \& A \& 0.08 \& 7.5 \& 2 \& A \& 0.10 \& ${ }^{7.6}$ \& 2 \& A \& 0.13 \& 7.8 <br>
\hline \& Northbound \& Through \& LTR \& Stop \& 15 \& A \& ${ }^{0.02}$ \& 7.1 \& 18 \& A \& ${ }^{0.03}$ \& 7.2 \& 5 \& A \& 0.08 \& 7.5 \& 73 \& A \& 0.10 \& ${ }^{7} .6$ \& ${ }^{94}$ \& A \& 0.13 \& 7.8 <br>
\hline \& \& Right \& \& \& 4 \& A \& 0.02 \& 7.1 \& 5 \& A \& 0.03 \& 7.2 \& 5 \& A \& 0.08 \& 7.5 \& 6 \& A \& 0.10 \& 7.6 \& 6 \& A \& 0.13 \& 7.8 <br>
\hline \& \& Left \& \& \& 22 \& A \& 0.05 \& ${ }^{7.3}$ \& 27 \& A \& 0.07 \& 7.4 \& 27 \& A \& 0.07 \& 7.5 \& 33 \& A \& 0.08 \& 7.6 \& 33 \& A \& 0.11 \& ${ }^{7} .8$ <br>
\hline \& Southbound \& Through \& LTR \& Stop \& 14 \& A \& ${ }^{0.05}$ \& ${ }^{7.3}$ \& 17 \& A \& 0.07 \& 7.4 \& 18 \& A \& 0.07 \& 7.5 \& ${ }^{22}$ \& A \& 0.08 \& 7.6 \& 42 \& A \& 0.11 \& 7.8 <br>
\hline \& \& Right \& \& \& 7 \& A \& ${ }^{0.05}$ \& 7.3 \& 9 \& A \& 0.07 \& 7.4 \& 9 \& A \& 0.07 \& 7.5 \& 11 \& A \& 0.08 \& ${ }^{7.6}$ \& 11 \& A \& 0.11 \& 7.8 <br>

\hline \& Overall \& \& - \& \& \& ${ }_{\text {A }}$ \& ${ }^{0.066}$ \& | 4.7 |
| :--- |
| 103 | \& \& ${ }_{\text {A }}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.08}$ \& 4.8 \& \& ${ }_{\text {A }}$ \& 0.10 \& ${ }^{3.7}$ \& \& ${ }_{\text {A }}$ \& O.144 \& | 4.0 |
| :--- |
| 139 | \& \& ${ }_{\text {A }}$ \& $\stackrel{0.16}{0.16}$ \& | 4.0 |
| :--- |
| 153 | <br>

\hline \& \& Left \& \& \& 22 \& B \& 0.06 \& 10.3 \& 27 \& B \& 0.08 \& 10.8 \& 27 \& B \& 0.10 \& ${ }^{12.3}$ \& 33 \& B \& 0.14 \& 13.9 \& ${ }^{33}$ \& c \& 0.16 \& 15.3 <br>
\hline \& Eastound \& Through \& LTR \& Stop \& 10 \& B \& ${ }^{0.06}$ \& ${ }^{10.3}$ \& ${ }^{12}$ \& ${ }^{\text {B }}$ \& 0.08 \& 10.8 \& ${ }^{12}$ \& B \& 0.10 \& ${ }^{12.3}$ \& ${ }^{15}$ \& B \& 0.14 \& ${ }^{13.9}$ \& ${ }^{15}$ \& c \& 0.16 \& 15.3 <br>
\hline \& \& Right \& \& \& 8 \& B \& 0.06 \& 10.3 \& 10 \& B \& 0.08 \& 10.8 \& ${ }^{10}$ \& B \& 0.10 \& ${ }^{12.3}$ \& 12 \& B \& 0.14 \& 13.9 \& 12 \& c \& 0.16 \& 15.3 <br>
\hline \& \& Left \& \& \& ${ }^{6}$ \& ${ }_{\text {A }}$ \& ${ }^{0.066}$ \& $\stackrel{9.5}{9.5}$ \& 7 \& A \& ${ }_{0}^{0.07}$ \& 9.7 \& 7 \& ${ }^{\text {B }}$ \& 0.09 \& 10.5

10.5 \& $\stackrel{9}{18}$ \& B \& 0.12 \& \begin{tabular}{|l}
11.3 <br>
\hline 1.3

 \& $\stackrel{9}{18}$ \& B \& ${ }^{0.13}$ \& 

11.9 <br>
119 <br>
\hline 119
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline \& Westound \& Through \& LTR \& Stop \& ${ }^{12}$ \& A \& ${ }^{0.066}$ \& 9.5 \& ${ }^{15}$ \& A \& ${ }^{0.07}$ \& 9.7 \& ${ }^{15}$ \& ${ }^{\text {B }}$ \& 0.09 \& ${ }^{10.5}$ \& ${ }^{18}$ \& ${ }^{\text {B }}$ \& 0.12 \& ${ }^{111.3}$ \& ${ }^{18}$ \& B \& 0.13 \& 11.9 <br>
\hline 100 S \& Broadway \& \& $\underset{\text { Right }}{\text { Left }}$ \& \& \& $\stackrel{28}{15}$ \& A \& 0.06
0.01
0.01 \& 9.5
0.1 \& $\stackrel{34}{18}$ \& A \& 0.07
0.01 \& 9.7
0.1 \& $\stackrel{34}{18}$ \& B \& 0.09

0.01 \& $\frac{10.5}{0.1}$ \& ${ }^{42}$ \& B \& | 0.12 |
| :--- |
| 0.02 | \& $\frac{11.3}{1.3}$ \& ${ }_{32}^{42}$ \& B \& 0.13

0.03 \& | 11.9 |
| :--- |
| 0.3 |
| 1 | <br>

\hline \& Northbound \& Through \& LTR \& Free \& ${ }_{42}$ \& A \& 0.01 \& $\stackrel{1}{1.9}$ \& 52 \& A \& 0.01 \& 1.9 \& ${ }_{106}$ \& A \& 0.01 \& 1.2 \& ${ }_{130}$ \& A \& 0.02 \& ${ }_{1.3}$ \& ${ }_{153}$ \& A \& 0.03 \& ${ }^{1.7}$ <br>
\hline \& \& Right \& \& \& 4 \& A \& 0.01 \& 1.9 \& 5 \& A \& 0.01 \& 1.9 \& 5 \& A \& 0.01 \& ${ }^{1.2}$ \& 6 \& A \& 0.02 \& 1.3 \& 6 \& A \& 0.03 \& 1.7 <br>
\hline \& \& Left \& \& \& 22 \& A \& 0.02 \& 0.1 \& 27 \& A \& 0.02 \& 0.1 \& 27 \& A \& 0.02 \& 0.2 \& 33 \& A \& 0.03 \& 0.2 \& ${ }^{33}$ \& A \& 0.03 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline \& Southbound \& Through \& LTR \& Free \& 54 \& A \& 0.02 \& 1.8 \& 66 \& A \& 0.02 \& 1.8 \& 147 \& A \& 0.02 \& 1.2 \& ${ }^{181}$ \& A \& 0.03 \& 1.2 \& ${ }^{203}$ \& A \& 0.03 \& 1.2 <br>
\hline \& \& Right \& \& \& 18 \& A \& 0.02 \& 1.8 \& 22 \& A \& ${ }^{0.02}$ \& 1.8 \& 22 \& A \& 0.02 \& 1.2 \& 27 \& A \& 0.03 \& 1.2 \& 27 \& A \& 0.03 \& 1.2 <br>
\hline \& Overall \& \& - \& - \& \& A \& 0.05 \& 7.2 \& \& A \& ${ }_{0}^{0.06}$ \& 7.3 \& \& A \& 0.13 \& ${ }^{7.5}$ \& \& A \& 0.16 \& ${ }^{7.8}$ \& \& A \& 0.19 \& ${ }_{8}^{8.0}$ <br>

\hline \& \& Left \& \& \& ${ }^{17}$ \& ${ }_{\text {A }}$ \& ${ }^{0.03}$ \& | 7.3 |
| :--- |
| 73 | \& \& A \& ${ }_{0}^{0.04}$ \& | 7.4 |
| :--- |
| 7. | \& ${ }^{21}$ \& A \& 0.04 \& ${ }^{7.6}$ \& ${ }^{26}$ \& A \& ${ }^{0.06}$ \& $\stackrel{7.8}{78}$ \& ${ }^{26}$ \& ${ }_{\text {A }}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.06}$ \& | 7.9 |
| :--- |
| 79 | <br>

\hline \& Eastound \& $\frac{\text { Through }}{\text { Right }}$ \& LTR \& Stop \& 6 \& A ${ }_{\text {A }}$ \& 0.03
0.03

0 \& | 7.3 |
| :--- |
| 7.3 | \& 7 \& A \& 0.04

0.04

0.04 \& | 7.4 |
| :--- |
| 7.4 | \& 7 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 0.04

0.04

0 \& | 7.6 |
| :--- |
| 7.6 | \& 9 \& A \& 0.06

0.06

0 \& | 7.8 |
| :--- |
| 7.8 | \& ${ }_{6} 9$ \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 0.06

0.06 \& 7.9
7.9 <br>
\hline \& \& Left \& \& \& 2 \& A \& ${ }_{0}^{0.03}$ \& 6.9 \& 2 \& A \& 0.04 \& 7.0 \& 2 \& A \& 0.04 \& 7.2 \& 3 \& A \& $\stackrel{0.05}{ }$ \& 7.4 \& 3 \& A \& 0.05 \& 7.5 <br>
\hline \& Westbund \& Through \& LTR \& Stop \& 10 \& A \& 0.03 \& 6.9 \& ${ }^{12}$ \& A \& 0.04 \& 7.0 \& ${ }^{12}$ \& A \& 0.04 \& 7.2 \& ${ }^{15}$ \& A \& 0.05 \& 7.4 \& ${ }^{15}$ \& A \& 0.05 \& 7.5 <br>
\hline $100 \mathrm{~S} \& 200 \mathrm{E}$ \& \& Right \& \& \& ${ }^{16}$ \& A \& ${ }^{0.03}$ \& 6.9 \& ${ }^{20}$ \& A \& 0.04 \& 7.0 \& ${ }^{20}$ \& A \& 0.04 \& 7.2 \& ${ }^{24}$ \& A \& 0.05 \& 7.4 \& ${ }^{24}$ \& A \& 0.05 \& 7.5 <br>
\hline \& \& Left \& \& \& ${ }^{12}$ \& ${ }_{\text {A }}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.05}$ \& 7.3
7.3 \& ${ }^{15}$ \& ${ }_{\text {A }}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.06}$ \& 7.4 \& ${ }_{1}^{15}$ \& A \& 0.13 \& ${ }^{7.8}$ \& ${ }^{18}$ \& ${ }_{\text {A }}$ \& 0.16 \& 8.0 \& ${ }_{18}^{120}$ \& A \& 0.19 \& 8.2 <br>
\hline \& Northbound \& Through \& LTR \& Stop \& ${ }^{22}$ \& A \& ${ }^{0.05}$ \& 7.3 \& ${ }^{27}$ \& A \& ${ }_{0}^{0.066}$ \& 7.4 \& ${ }^{80}$ \& A \& 0.13 \& 7.8 \& ${ }^{98}$ \& A \& ${ }^{0.16}$ \& ${ }^{8.0}$ \& ${ }^{120}$ \& A \& 0.19 \& 8.2 <br>
\hline \& \& Right \& \& \& 6 \& A \& 0.05 \& 7.3 \& 7 \& A \& 0.06 \& 7.4 \& 7 \& A \& 0.13 \& 7.8 \& 9 \& A \& 0.16 \& ${ }^{8.0}$ \& 9 \& A \& 0.19 \& 8.2 <br>
\hline \& \& Left \& \& \& 8 \& A \& 0.05 \& 7.1 \& 10 \& A \& 0.06 \& 7.2 \& ${ }^{10}$ \& A \& 0.07 \& 7.4 \& 12 \& A \& 0.09 \& ${ }^{7} .6$ \& ${ }^{12}$ \& A \& 0.12 \& 7.8 <br>
\hline \& Southound \& Through \& LTR \& Stop \& $\frac{18}{14}$ \& A \& 0 \& 7.1
71 \& ${ }^{22}$ \& A \& 0.06
0.06

0 \& 7.2 \& | 33 |
| :--- |
| 17 | \& A \& 0.07

0.07 \& | 7.4 |
| :--- |
| 7 | \& ${ }_{41}^{21}$ \& A \& 0.09

0.09 \& ${ }_{7}^{7.6}$ \& ${ }_{6}^{61}$ \& A \& 0.12

0.12 \& | 7.8 |
| :--- |
| 78 | <br>

\hline \multirow{6}{*}{$400 \mathrm{~S} \& 400 \mathrm{~W}$} \& Overall \& Ripht \& \& \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{\multirow{6}{*}{N/A}} \& \& A \& $\bigcirc$ \& $\frac{7.2}{2.0}$ \& \& A \& $\stackrel{0.07}{0.02}$ \& $\frac{7.4}{1.8}$ \& \& A \& 0.093 \& $\frac{7.6}{1.9}$ \& \& A \& $\stackrel{0.03}{0.0}$ \& $\frac{1.3}{1.3}$ <br>
\hline \& \& Left \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 7 \& A \& 0.00 \& 0.0 \& 30 \& A \& 0.02 \& 0.2 \& 37 \& A \& 0.03 \& 0.2 \& 37 \& A \& 0.03 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline \& Eastound \& Through \& LT \& Free \& \& \& \& \& 7 \& A \& 0.00 \& 3.7 \& ${ }^{85}$ \& , \& 0.02 \& 2.0 \& 105 \& A \& 0.03 \& 2.0 \& 186 \& A \& 0.03 \& 1.4 <br>
\hline \& Westbound \& $\frac{\text { Through }}{\text { Right }}$ \& TR \& Free \& \& \& \& \& ${ }_{4}^{8}$ \& A \& 0.01
0.01
0.0 \& 0.0
0.0 \& ${ }_{4}^{8}$ \& A \& 0.01
0.01 \& 0.0
0.0 \& 10
5 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 0.011 \& 0.0
0.0 \& 10
5 \& A \& 0.01
0.01 \& 0.0
0.0
0 <br>
\hline \& southound \& Left \& LR \& Yield \& \& \& \& \& 0 \& \& \& \& 0 \& \& \& \& 0 \& $\stackrel{-}{-}$ \& \& \& 0 \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& Southbound \& Right \& LR \& Yeld \& \& \& \& \& 0 \& \& \& $\cdots$ \& 0 \& \& $-$ \& \& 0 \& \& - \& \& 0 \& \& \& <br>
\hline \multirow{6}{*}{400 S \& Broadway} \& Overall \& \& \& - \& \& A \& 0.02 \& 4.6 \& \& A \& 0.02 \& 4.5 \& \& A \& 0.08 \& 4.4 \& \& A \& 0.10 \& 4.6 \& \& A \& 0.15 \& 3.9 <br>
\hline \& Eastoound \& \& LT \& Free \& ${ }^{15}$ \& A \& ${ }^{0.01}$ \& 0.1 \& 18 \& A \& ${ }^{0.01}$ \& 0.1 \& ${ }_{5}^{53}$ \& A \& 0.04 \& 0.3 \& 66 \& A \& 0.05 \& ${ }^{0.4}$ \& 66 \& A \& 0.05 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline \& \& Through \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{${ }_{\text {TR }}$} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Free} \& ${ }_{4}$ \& A \& ${ }^{0.01}$ \& 6.1 \& 4 \& A \& 0.01 \& ${ }_{6}^{6.0}$ \& ${ }_{4}^{42}$ \& ${ }_{\text {A }}$ \& 0.04 \& ${ }_{4}^{4.3}$ \& ${ }_{51}^{51}$ \& A \& 0.05 \& 4.4 \& ${ }^{132}$ \& A \& ${ }_{0}^{0.05}$ \& ${ }^{2.8}$ <br>
\hline \& Westbound \& $\frac{\text { Through }}{\text { Right }}$ \& \& \& ${ }_{16}^{4}$ \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& 0.01
0.01
0 \& 0.0

0.0 \& | 5 |
| :--- |
| 20 | \& A ${ }_{\text {A }}$ \& 0.02

0.02
0 \& 0.0
0.0 \& $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ \hline 65\end{array}$ \& A \& 0.04

0.04 \& | 0.0 |
| :--- |
| 0.0 | \& ${ }_{80}^{6}$ \& A \& 0.05

0.05 \& 0.0
0.0

0 \& $\stackrel{6}{111}$ \& A \& | 0.07 |
| :--- |
| 0.07 | \& 0.0

0.0
0.0 <br>
\hline \& \& Left \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Yield} \& 6 \& A \& $\stackrel{0}{0.02}$ \& 8.6 \& ${ }^{2}$ \& A \& ${ }_{0}^{0.02}$ \& ${ }_{8.6}$ \& ${ }_{4}{ }^{6}$ \& A \& $\stackrel{.0 .08}{0.0}$ \& ${ }^{9.9}$ \& ${ }_{56}$ \& B \& $\stackrel{0.10}{0.0}$ \& ${ }^{10.3}$ \& ${ }^{67}$ \& ${ }^{\text {B }}$ \& 0.15 \& $\stackrel{11.1}{1}$ <br>
\hline \& Southbound \& Right \& LR \& \& 10 \& A \& 0.02 \& 8.6 \& 12 \& A \& 0.02 \& 8.6 \& 12 \& A \& 0.08 \& 9.9 \& 15 \& B \& 0.10 \& 10.3 \& 26 \& B \& 0.15 \& 11.1 <br>
\hline \multirow{5}{*}{$400 \mathrm{~S} \& 200 \mathrm{E}$} \& Overall \& \& - \& - \& \& A \& 0.01 \& 5.3 \& \& A \& 0.01 \& 5.3 \& \& A \& 0.02 \& ${ }^{6.0}$ \& \& A \& 0.03 \& 6.0 \& \& A \& 0.07 \& <br>

\hline \& Eastbound \& ${ }_{\text {Lheft }}^{\text {Through }}$ \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{${ }_{\text {LT }}$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Free} \& ${ }_{4}^{12}$ \& A \& | 0.01 |
| :--- |
| 0.01 | \& 0.1

5.5 \& ${ }^{15}$ \& ${ }_{\text {A }}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.01}$ \& $\stackrel{0.1}{5}$ \& ${ }_{5}^{15}$ \& A \& ${ }^{0.01}$ \& $\stackrel{0.1}{5}$ \& ${ }^{18}$ \& ${ }^{\text {A }}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.01}$ \& ${ }_{5}^{0.1}$ \& ${ }^{26}$ \& A \& ${ }_{0}^{0.02}$ \& ${ }^{0.1}$ <br>

\hline \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Westound} \& Through \& \& \& 4 \& A \& 0.010 \& 50.0 \& ${ }^{5}$ \& A \& 0.000 \& ${ }_{0} 0.0$ \& 5 \& ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.01}$ \& | 5. |
| :--- |
| 0.0 | \& ${ }_{3}$ \& A \& ${ }_{0}^{0.01}$ \& ${ }_{0} 0.0$ \& ${ }_{34}$ \& A \& ${ }_{0}^{0.04}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{1.0}$ <br>

\hline \& \& Right \& TR \& Free \& 4 \& A \& 0.00 \& 0.0 \& 5 \& A \& 0.00 \& 0.0 \& 6 \& A \& 0.01 \& 0.0 \& 7 \& A \& 0.01 \& 0.0 \& ${ }^{23}$ \& A \& 0.04 \& 0.0 <br>
\hline \& Southbound \& $\frac{\text { Left }}{\text { Right }}$ \& LR \& Stop \& ${ }^{3}$ \& ${ }_{\text {A }}$ \& 0.01
0.01 \& 8.5
8.5 \& 7 \& A \& 0.01 \& 8.5
8.5 \& ${ }^{15}$ \& A \& 0.02 \& ${ }_{8}^{8.7}$ \& ${ }_{18}^{18}$ \& A \& ${ }_{0}^{0.03}$ \& ${ }_{8}^{8.8}$ \& 40 \& A \& ${ }_{0}^{0.07}$ \& 9.8 <br>
\hline \multirow{5}{*}{$400 \mathrm{~S} \& \underset{\substack{\text { Development } \# 10 \\ \text { Access }}}{\text {. }}$} \& Overall \& Right \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \& \& A \& 0.01 \& 8.5 \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{\multirow{6}{*}{N/A}} \& \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{6}{*}{N/A}} \& 9 \& A \& 0.03
0.00 \& ${ }^{8.8}$ \& 9 \& A \& $\stackrel{0.07}{0.00}$ \& $\stackrel{9.8}{-8}$ <br>
\hline \& Westbound \& Left \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{LR} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Stop} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{\multirow{5}{*}{N/A}} \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 0 \& - \& - \& - \& ${ }^{81}$ \& \& \& - <br>
\hline \& \& Through \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 18
10 \& - \& $\cdots$ \& - \& 0 \& - \& - \& - <br>
\hline \& Northbound \& Right \& TR \& Free \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 0 \& - \& - \& - \& 0 \& - \& - \& - <br>
\hline \& Southbound \& ${ }_{\text {Left }}^{\text {Leith }}$ \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{LR} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Free} \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& - \& - \& - \& - \& 0 \& - \& - \& - <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 0 \& . \& - \& \& 47 \& \& . \& - <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| Town of Raymond Project No： Date Created： Date Revised： | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 2007－3491 } \\ 6 / 17 / 2008 \\ \hline\end{array}$ 6／1772008$1 / 14 / 2009$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| －Improved network ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | Intersection Approach | Movement | Configuration | Trafic Control | 2008 Background |  |  |  | 2018 Background |  |  |  | 2018 Total |  |  |  | 2028 Background |  |  |  | 2028 Total |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Volume | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \text { 䯩 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Volume | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{x}} \\ & \frac{x}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & 0 \\ & 0.8 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Volume | $\stackrel{\square}{3}$ |  |  | Volume | $\stackrel{\square}{9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{x} \\ & \frac{x}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { a } \\ \text { 兴 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Volume | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{x} \\ & \frac{x}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 年 |
| Railway Ave \＆ 200 E | Eastound |  |  | － | － | A | 0.04 | ${ }^{3.6}$ | － | A | 0.05 | 3.6 | ． | A | 0.11 | 4.1 | ． | A | 0.14 | 4.2 | － | A | 0.20 | 4.5 |
|  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Through }}$ | TR | Free | 10 | A | 0.03 | 0.0 | 12 | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | 0.03 | 0.0 | ${ }^{68}$ | A | 0.07 | 0.0 | 84 | A | 0.08 | 0.0 | 122 | A | 0.11 | 0.0 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 30 | A | 0.03 | 0.0 | 37 | A | 0.03 | 0.0 | ${ }^{37}$ | A | 0.07 | 0.0 | 45 | A | 0.08 | 0.0 | 45 | A | 0.11 | 0.0 |
|  | Westbound | Left |  |  | 10 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 12 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | ${ }^{12}$ | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | ${ }^{15}$ | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | ${ }^{15}$ | A | 0.01 | 0.1 |
|  |  | Through | LT | Free | 20 | A | 0.01 | 2.5 | 25 | A | 0.01 | 2.4 | 27 | A | 0.01 | 2.3 | 33 | A | 0.01 | 2.4 | 39 | A | 0.01 | 2.2 |
|  |  | Right |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Northbound | Left |  |  | 15 | A | ${ }^{0.04}$ | ${ }^{8.8}$ | 18 | A | 0.05 | 8.9 | 18 | A | 0.11 | ${ }^{9.3}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | A | 0.14 | 9.6 | ${ }^{23}$ | B | 0.20 | 10.2 |
|  |  | Through | LR | Stop |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 20 | A | 0.04 | 8.8 | 25 | A | 0.05 | 8.9 | ${ }^{78}$ | A | 0.11 | 9.3 | 95 | A | 0.14 | ${ }^{9.6}$ | ${ }^{133}$ | B | ${ }^{0.20}$ | 10.2 |
| Highway 52 \＆400 W | Overall |  |  | － | N／A |  |  |  |  | A | 0.03 | 2.0 |  | A | 0.03 | 1.5 |  | A | 0.04 | 1.5 |  | A | 0.05 | 1.4 |
|  | Eastound | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 10 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 12 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 12 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Free |  |  |  |  | 100 | A | 0.01 | 0.7 | ${ }^{185}$ | ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | ${ }^{0.001}$ | ${ }^{0.4}$ | ${ }^{228}$ | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.01}$ | ${ }^{0.4}$ | 347 | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.01}$ | ${ }^{0.3}$ |
|  | Westbound | Right |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{10}$ | A | 0.01 | 0.7 | ${ }_{54}^{54}$ | A | ${ }^{0.01}$ | ${ }^{0.4}$ | ${ }^{66}$ | A | ${ }^{0.01}$ | ${ }^{0.4}$ | ${ }^{66}$ | A | ${ }^{0.01}$ | ${ }^{0.3}$ |
|  |  | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 10 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | ${ }^{12}$ | A | ${ }^{0.01}$ | 0.1 | 12 | A | ${ }^{0.01}$ | 0.1 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Free |  |  |  |  | 100 | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 0.01 | 0.7 | 100 | A | 0.01 | 0.7 | ${ }^{123}$ | A | 0.01 | 0.7 | ${ }^{123}$ | A | 0.01 | 0.8 |
|  |  | Right |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | A | 0.01 | 0.7 | 10 | A | ${ }^{0.01}$ | ${ }^{0.7}$ | 12 | A | 0.01 | 0.7 | ${ }^{12}$ | A | ${ }^{0.01}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.8}$ |
|  | Northound | $\stackrel{\text { Left }}{\text { Through }}$ | LTR | Stop |  |  |  |  | 10 0 | A | 0.03 | 9.8 | $\stackrel{10}{0}$ | B | 0.03 | 10.7 | 12 0 0 | B | 0.04 | 11.5 | $\stackrel{12}{0}$ | B | 0.05 | 12.9 |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {Ther }}^{\text {Tight }}$ | LIR | Stop |  |  |  |  | 10 | A | 0.03 | ${ }_{9} 9.8$ | 10 | B | ${ }_{0}^{0.03}$ | 10.7 | 12 | B | $\stackrel{-0.0}{ }$ | ${ }_{11.5}$ | 12 | B | ${ }_{0} 0.05$ | ${ }_{12}{ }^{2} .9$ |
|  | Southound | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | A | 0.03 | ${ }_{9} 9.8$ | 10 | B | ${ }_{0}^{0.03}$ | 10.4 | 12 | B | ${ }_{0} 0.04$ | 11.0 | 12 | B | 0.05 | ${ }_{12.0}$ |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Right |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | A | 0.03 | ${ }^{9.8}$ | 10 | в | 0.03 | 10.4 | 12 | B | 0.04 | 11.0 | 12 | B | 0.05 | 12.0 |
| Highway 52 \＆ 200 W | Eastound |  | － | － |  | A | ${ }^{0.18}$ | 6.0 |  | A | 0.24 | ${ }^{6.5}$ |  | A | ${ }^{0.36}$ | 7.0 |  | A | ${ }^{0.50}$ | ${ }^{8.7}$ |  | A | ${ }^{0.59}$ | 10.2 |
|  |  | Left |  |  | 14 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 17 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 17 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 21 | A | 0.02 | 0.1 | ${ }^{21}$ | A | 0.02 | 0.2 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Free | ${ }^{63}$ | A | 0.01 | 1.3 | 77 | A | 0.01 | ${ }^{1.3}$ | 162 | 1 | 0.01 | 0.8 | 200 | A | 0.02 | 0.8 | 319 | A | 0.02 | 0.6 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | ${ }^{11}$ | A | ${ }^{0.01}$ | ${ }^{1.3}$ | ${ }^{14}$ | A | 0.01 | ${ }^{1.3}$ | ${ }^{14}$ | A | ${ }^{0.01}$ | ${ }^{0.8}$ | 17 | A | 0.02 | ${ }^{0.8}$ | 17 | A | ${ }^{0.02}$ | ${ }^{0.6}$ |
|  | Westound | Left |  |  | ${ }_{18}^{18}$ | A | ${ }^{0.01}$ | ${ }^{0.1}$ | ${ }^{22}$ | A | 0.02 | ${ }^{0.1}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | A | ${ }^{0.02}$ | 0.2 | ${ }^{27}$ | A | 0.02 | ${ }_{0} 0.2$ | ${ }_{46}^{46}$ | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.04}$ | 0.4 |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {Through }}^{\text {Right }}$ | LTR | Free | ${ }^{85}$ | A | 0.01 0.01 0.0 | 1.3 1.3 | $\frac{105}{9}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | 0.02 0.02 | 1.3 <br> 1.3 | $\stackrel{105}{9}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | $\stackrel{0.02}{0.02}$ | 1.4 <br> 1.4 | 129 11 | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | 0.02 0.02 0 | 1.4 1.4 | 129 31 | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 0.04 0.04 0.04 | $\stackrel{2.1}{2.1}$ |
|  | Northbound | Reft |  |  | 25 | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | $\frac{0.018}{0.18}$ | $\frac{1.38}{11.1}$ | ${ }^{91}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 0.024 | ${ }_{12.1}^{12.1}$ | ${ }_{31}$ | B | ${ }_{0}^{0.026}$ | ${ }_{1.4 .9}^{1.9}$ | ${ }_{38}^{11}$ | C | ${ }^{0.02}$ | $\stackrel{1.4}{19.2}$ | ${ }_{38}^{31}$ | －${ }_{\text {A }}$ | $\stackrel{0.04}{0.59}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2.1}$ |
|  |  | Through | LT | Stop | 50 | B | 0.18 | ${ }^{11.1}$ | 62 | B | 0.24 | ${ }_{12.1}$ | 103 | B | ${ }_{0} 0.36$ | 14.9 | ${ }^{126}$ | c | ${ }_{0} .50$ | 19.2 | 142 | D | 0.59 | 25.0 |
|  |  | Right | R |  | 44 | B | 0.18 | ${ }^{11.1}$ | 54 | B | 0.24 | ${ }^{12.1}$ | 54 | B | 0.36 | 14.9 | 67 | c | 0.50 | 19.2 | 73 | D | 0.59 | 25.0 |
|  | Soutbound | Left |  |  | 9 | B | 0.10 | ${ }^{11.2}$ | 11 | B | 0.14 | ${ }^{12.1}$ | 11 | B | 0.16 | 13.4 | 14 | c | 0.24 | 15.8 | 20 | C | 0.36 | ${ }^{23.1}$ |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | 44 | B | 0.10 | ${ }^{111.2}$ | 54 | B | 0.14 | ${ }^{12.1}$ | 55 | B | 0.16 | 13.4 | ${ }^{68}$ | c | 0.24 | 15.8 | ${ }^{68}$ | C | 0.36 | ${ }^{23.1}$ |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 9 | B | 0.10 | 11.2 | 11 | B | 0.14 | ${ }^{12.1}$ | 11 | B | 0.16 | 13.4 | 14 | c | 0.24 | 15.8 | 14 | c | 0.36 | ${ }^{23.1}$ |
| Highway 52 \＆ Broadway | Eastound |  |  |  | 29 | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {B }}$ | 0.20 0.20 | 6．3 <br> 11.6 | ${ }^{36}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {B }}$ | 0.27 0.27 | 7.1 <br> 13.1 | ${ }^{36}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {B }}$ | 0.41 0.41 0.41 | 8.7 14.7 | 44 | ${ }_{\text {B }}^{\text {B }}$ | 0.56 0.49 | 13.5 13.6 | 44 | D | $\stackrel{0}{0.82}$ | $\frac{25.1}{32.5}$ |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | ${ }_{28}^{29}$ | B | $\frac{0.20}{0.20}$ | $\stackrel{11.6}{11.6}$ | ${ }_{34}$ | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.27}$ | 13．1 13.1 | ${ }_{52}$ | B | $\stackrel{0.41}{0.41}$ | ${ }_{14.7}$ | 65 | B | 0.49 | ${ }_{13.6}^{13.6}$ | 196 | D | ${ }_{0.82}$ | ${ }^{32.5}$ |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 67 | B | 0.20 | ${ }^{11.6}$ | 82 | B | 0.27 | ${ }^{13.1}$ | 149 | B | 0.41 | 14.7 | 184 | B | 0.49 | ${ }^{13.6}$ | 184 | D | 0.82 | 32.5 |
|  | Westbound | Left |  |  | 31 | B | 0.17 | 13.7 | ${ }^{38}$ | c | 0.24 | 16.1 | 48 | c | 0.34 | 21.5 | 56 | － | 0.24 | 11.1 | 79 | c | 0.46 | 16.2 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | 42 | B | 0.17 | 13.7 | 52 | c | 0.24 | 16.1 | 52 | c | 0.34 | 21.5 | 64 | B | 0.24 | 11.1 | 103 | c | 0.46 | 16.2 |
|  |  | $\frac{\text { Right }}{\text { Left }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{6}^{4}$ | B | 0.17 0.05 | 13.7 0.4 | ${ }_{7}^{56}$ | C | 0.24 <br> 0.06 | 16.1 <br> 0.5 | ${ }_{5}^{56}$ | C | 0.34 <br> 0.06 <br> 0 | 21.5 0.5 | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 0.24 | ${ }_{11.1}^{11.1}$ | ${ }^{26}$ | C | ${ }^{0.46}$ | ${ }^{16.2}$ |
|  | Northbound | ${ }_{\text {Through }}$ | LTR | Stop | ${ }_{83}{ }^{62}$ | A | $\stackrel{0.05}{0.05}$ | ${ }^{2.9}$ | ${ }_{102}$ | A | ${ }_{0} 0.06$ | ${ }_{3} 3.0$ | ${ }_{156}^{156}$ | A | $\stackrel{0.06}{0.06}$ | ${ }_{2} .5$ | ${ }^{192}$ | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\stackrel{.056}{0.56}$ | $\stackrel{15.5}{15.5}$ | ${ }_{210}$ | D | ${ }_{0}^{0.74}$ | ${ }^{26.5}$ |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 32 | A | 0.05 | 2.9 | 39 | A | 0.06 | 3.0 | 39 | A | 0.06 | 2.5 | 48 | c | 0.56 | 15.5 | 55 | D | 0.74 | 26.5 |
|  | Southound | Left |  |  | 10 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 12 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 12 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 15 | B | 0.31 | ${ }^{11.4}$ | 21 | C | 0.40 | 15.1 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | 76 | A | 0.01 | 0.7 | ${ }^{93}$ | A | 0.01 | 0.7 | 95 | A | 0.01 | 0.7 | 117 | B | 0.31 | 11.4 | ${ }^{117}$ | c | 0.40 | 15.1 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 27 | A | 0.01 | 0.7 | 33 | A | 0.01 | 0.7 | 33 | A | ${ }^{0.01}$ | 0.7 | ${ }^{41}$ | B | 0.31 | 11.4 | 41 | c | 0.40 | ${ }^{15.1}$ |
| Highway 52 \＆ 200 E | Overall |  | － | － |  | A | 0.10 | 6.0 |  | A | 0.13 | ${ }^{6.2}$ |  | A | ${ }^{0.23}$ | 7.0 |  | A | ${ }^{0.30}$ | 7.6 <br> 0.1 <br> 1 |  | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.52}$ | ${ }^{8.5}$ |
|  | Eastbound | $\frac{\text { Left }}{\text { Through }}$ | LTR |  | ${ }_{2}^{14}$ | A | ${ }^{0.01}$ | ${ }^{0.1}$ | ${ }_{32}^{17}$ | A | ${ }^{0.01}$ | ${ }^{0.1}$ | $\stackrel{17}{50}$ | A | 0.01 0.01 | 0.1 <br> 1.5 | $\stackrel{21}{61}$ | A | 0.02 0.02 | $\stackrel{0.1}{1.5}$ | ${ }_{201}^{21}$ | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.02}$ | ${ }^{0.1}$ |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {Ther }}^{\text {Thight }}$ | LTR | Free | ${ }_{18}^{26}$ | A | 0.01 0.01 | $\stackrel{1.8}{1.8}$ | ${ }^{32}$ | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.01}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.8}$ | 50 <br> 22 | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.01}$ | $\frac{1.5}{1.5}$ | ${ }_{21}^{61}$ | A | 0.02 0.02 | $\stackrel{1.5}{1.5}$ | $\stackrel{201}{27}$ | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.02}$ | 0.8 <br> 0.8 |
|  | Westound | Left |  |  | 12 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 15 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 25 | ， | 0.02 | 0.1 | 30 | A | 0.02 | 0.2 | 50 | A | 0.04 | 0.4 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Free | ${ }^{41}$ | A | 0.01 | ${ }^{1.6}$ | 50 | A | 0.01 | ${ }^{1.6}$ | 60 | A | ${ }^{0.02}$ | 2.2 | 74 | A | 0.02 | 2.2 | ${ }^{153}$ | A | ${ }^{0.04}$ | 2.0 |
|  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Right }}$ |  |  | 4 | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.01}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.6}$ | 5 | ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | 0.01 | ${ }_{1}^{1.6}$ | 5 | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | ${ }^{0.022}$ | ${ }^{2.2}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | ${ }^{0.02}$ | ${ }^{2.2}$ | ${ }_{26}^{26}$ | A | ${ }^{0.04}$ | 2.0 |
|  | Northbund | Through | LTR | Stop | ${ }_{41} 17$ | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ |  | 10.1 10.1 | ${ }_{50}^{21}$ | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | ${ }^{0.13} 0.13$ | 10.5 <br> 10.5 | ${ }_{103}$ | B | ${ }_{0}^{0.23}$ | ${ }_{11.9}$ | ${ }_{127}^{26}$ | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 0 | 13．2 <br> 13.2 | ${ }_{145}^{26}$ | c | ${ }_{0}^{0.52}$ | ${ }_{22.3}^{22.3}$ |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 16 | B | 0.10 | 10.1 | 20 | B | 0.13 | 10.5 | 20 | B | 0.23 | 11.9 | 24 | B | 0.30 | ${ }_{13.2}$ | 30 | c | 0.52 | 22.3 |
|  | Soutbound | Left |  |  | 5 | A | 0.07 | 9.9 | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 0.08 | ${ }^{10.2}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | B | ${ }^{0.09}$ | 10.7 | 8 | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 0.12 | ${ }^{111.3}$ | 14 | c | 0.21 | ${ }^{16.3}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Through } \\ & \hline \text { Right } \end{aligned}$ | LTR | Stop | ${ }_{1}^{29}$ | A | 0.07 0.07 | 9.9 9.9 | 36 17 | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 0.08 0.08 | 10.2 10.2 | 37 17 | B ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 0.09 0.09 | 10.7 10.7 | 45 21 | B ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | ${ }^{0.12}$ 0．12 | ＋11．3 | $\stackrel{45}{21}$ | c | ${ }_{0}^{0.21}$ | ${ }_{16.3}^{16.3}$ |

Town of Raymond
Proiect No：
Date Created：
Date Revised：
ImPRoved network

| Intersection | IntersectionApproach | Movement | Configuration | Trafic Control | 2008 Background |  |  |  | 2018 Background |  |  |  | 2018 Total |  |  |  | 2028 Background |  |  |  | 2028 Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Volume | $\stackrel{\square}{8}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 艺 } \\ & \text { 僉 } \end{aligned}$ | Volume | \％ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 合 } \\ & \text { 咅 } \end{aligned}$ | Volume | $\stackrel{\square}{9}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 和 } \\ & \text { 言 } \end{aligned}$ | Volume | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | $\frac{S}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 合 } \\ & \text { 音 } \end{aligned}$ | Volume | \％ |  | 行 |
| Highway 52 \＆ Development \＃10Access | Overall |  |  |  | N／A |  |  |  | N／A |  |  |  | N／A |  |  |  |  | A | 0.03 | 0.0 |  | A | 0.09 | 1.9 |
|  |  | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Eastbound | $\underset{\substack{\text { Through } \\ \text { Ripht }}}{ }$ | TR | Free |  |  |  |  | 53 | A | 0.03 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | A | 0.09 0.09 | 0.0 0.0 0 |
|  |  | $\frac{\text { Right }}{\text { Left }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{82}$ | A | 0.09 | 0.0 |
|  | Westbound | Through | ${ }_{\text {LT }}$ | Free |  |  |  |  | 57 | A | 0.00 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 57 | A | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  |  | Right |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | － | ． | ． |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{48}$ | A | 0.06 | 9.6 |
|  | Northbund | Through | LR | Stop |  |  |  |  |  | － | － | ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Right |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| $100 \mathrm{~N} \& 400 \mathrm{~W}$ | Overall |  | － | － | N／A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | 0.02 | 3.7 | － | A | 0.02 | 1.9 |  | A | 0.02 | 1.9 |  | A | 0.02 | 1.9 |
|  |  | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | A | 0.02 | 8.6 | 5 | A | 0.02 | 8.6 | 6 | A | 0.02 | 8.7 | 6 | A | 0.02 | 8.7 |
|  | Westbound | $\frac{\substack{\text { Through } \\ \text { Right }}}{}$ | LR | Stop |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 | ${ }^{8.6}$ |  | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.02}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8.6}$ | 12 | A | 0.02 | 8.7 | 12 | A | 0.02 | 8.7 |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {Left }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | A |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． |  |  |  |  |
|  | Northbound | Through | TR | Free |  |  |  |  | 15 | A | 0.01 | 0.0 | 15 | A | 0.01 | 0.0 | 18 | A | 0.01 | 0.0 | 18 | A | 0.01 | 0.0 |
|  |  | Right |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | A | 0.00 | 0.0 | 5 | A | 0.00 | 0.0 | 6 | A | 0.00 | 0.0 | 6 | A | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  | Soutbound | Through | LT | Free |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | 54 | A | 0.00 | ${ }^{0.6}$ | ${ }^{66}$ | A | 0.00 | ${ }^{0.6}$ | ${ }^{66}$ | A | 0.00 | 0.6 |
|  |  | Right |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | 0.00 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $100 \mathrm{~N} \& 200 \mathrm{~W}$ | Eastound |  | － | － | 35 | ， | ${ }^{0.09}$ | ${ }^{7.6}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 0.12 | ${ }^{7.8}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | 0.15 | ${ }^{8.0}$ |  | A | 0.19 | ${ }^{8.3}$ |  | A | ${ }^{0.22}$ | ${ }^{8.6}$ |
|  |  | Left |  |  | 35 | A | 0.08 | 7.7 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{43}$ | A | 0.10 | 8.0 | ${ }^{43}$ | A | 0.10 | 8.1 | 53 | A | 0.13 | 8.5 | 53 | A | 0.14 | 8.6 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | 25 | A | ${ }^{0.08}$ | 7.7 |  |  |  |  | 31 | A | 0.10 | ${ }^{8.0}$ | ${ }^{31}$ | A | 0.10 | ${ }^{8.1}$ | 38 | A | 0.13 | 8.5 | ${ }^{38}$ | A | 0.14 | ${ }^{8.6}$ |
|  |  | $\frac{\text { Right }}{\text { Left }}$ |  |  | 2 |  | 0.08 <br> 0.06 <br> 0.0 | 7.7 <br> 72 |  |  |  |  | 2 | A | 0.10 0.07 | 8.0 <br> 7 | 1 | A | 0.10 0.07 | 8.1 <br> 7 | ${ }_{4}$ | A | 0.13 | ${ }^{8.5}$ | 2 | A | 0.14 | ${ }^{8.6}$ |
|  | Westbound | ${ }_{\text {Cheft }}^{\text {LTrough }}$ | LTR | Stop | $\stackrel{2}{10}$ | A | 0.06 0.06 0.0 | 7.2 <br> 7.2 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{12}^{2}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | 0.07 0.07 | 7.4 <br> 7.4 | 3 <br> 12 | A | 0.07 0.07 | $\stackrel{7.6}{7.6}$ | 4 <br> 15 | A | 0.10 0.10 | $\stackrel{7.8}{7.8}$ | ${ }_{15}^{4}$ | A | 0.10 0.10 | 8.0 <br> 8.0 <br> 8 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 34 | A | 0.06 | ${ }_{7} 7.2$ |  |  |  |  | 42 | A | 0.07 | 7.4 | ${ }^{43}$ | A | 0.07 | 7.6 | 53 | A | 0.10 | 7.8 | 54 | A | 0.10 | ${ }_{8}^{8.0}$ |
|  | Northbund | Left |  |  | ， | A | 0.07 | ${ }^{7.6}$ |  |  |  |  | 5 | A | 0.09 | 7.8 | 5 | A | 0.15 | 8.1 | 6 | A | 0.19 | 8.5 | 6 | A | 0.22 | 8.8 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | 50 | A | 0.07 | 7.6 | 62 | A | 0.09 | 7.8 | 102 | A | 0.15 | 8.1 | 125 | A | 0.19 | 8.5 | 146 | A | 0.22 | 8.8 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 3 | A | 0.07 | 7.6 | 4 | A | 0.09 | 7.8 | 5 | A | 0.15 | ${ }^{8.1}$ | 6 | A | 0.19 | 8.5 | 6 | A | 0.22 | ${ }^{8.8}$ |
|  | Southbund | Left |  |  | ${ }^{17}$ | A | 0.09 | 7.7 | ${ }^{21}$ | A | 0.12 | 7.9 | ${ }^{22}$ | A | 0.12 | 8.0 | ${ }^{27}$ | A | 0.15 | ${ }^{8.3}$ | ${ }^{27}$ | A | 0.18 | ${ }^{8.6}$ |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | 40 | A | 0.09 | 7.7 | 49 | A | 0.12 | 7.9 | 49 | A | 0.12 | 8.0 | 61 | A | 0.15 | 8.3 | 80 | A | 0.18 | 8.6 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 15 | A | 0.09 | 7.7 | 20 | A | 0.12 | 7.9 | 20 | A | 0.12 | 8.0 | 24 | A | 0.15 | 8.3 | 24 | A | 0.18 | 8.6 |
| 100 N \＆Broadway | Overall |  | － | － |  | A | 0.09 | 3.7 |  | A | 0.12 | 4.0 |  | A | 0.16 | 3.6 |  | A | 0.25 | 4.2 |  | B | 0.28 | 4.3 |
|  | Eastound | Left |  |  | ${ }^{43}$ | B | 0.09 | 12.0 | 53 | B | 0.12 | 13.4 | 53 | c | 0.16 | 16.2 | 65 | c | 0.25 | 20.8 | ${ }^{65}$ | c | 0.28 | 22.9 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 2 | c | 0.16 | 16.2 | ， | c | 0.25 | 20.8 | 2 | C | 0.28 | 22.9 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 2 | B | 0.09 | ${ }^{12.0}$ | 2 | B | 0.12 | 13.4 | 2 | C | 0.16 | 16.2 | 3 | c | 0.25 | 20.8 | 3 | C | 0.28 | 22.9 |
|  | Westbound | Left |  |  | 2 | A | 0.05 | 9.0 | 2 | A | 0.06 | 9.2 |  | A | 0.08 | 9.9 | 5 | B | 0.11 | 10.4 | 7 | B | 0.13 | 11.2 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 2 | A | 0.08 | 9.9 | 2 | B | 0.11 | ${ }^{10.4}$ | 5 | B | 0.13 | 11.2 |
|  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Right }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}^{42}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 0.05 0.00 0 | 9.0 0 | ${ }_{5}^{52}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | ${ }^{0.06}$ | ${ }^{9.2}$ | ${ }_{54}^{54}$ | A | 0.08 0.01 | 9.9 | ${ }_{9}^{66}$ | B | 0.11 0.01 | ${ }^{10.4}$ | ${ }_{98}^{68}$ | B | 0.13 0.01 | $\frac{11.2}{101}$ |
|  | Northbund | Through | LTR | Free | 80 | A | ${ }_{0} 0.00$ | 0.5 | ${ }_{98}$ | A | ${ }_{0} 0.01$ | 0.5 | ${ }_{150}$ | A | 0.01 | 0.4 | 185 | A | 0.01 | 0.4 | 208 | A | 0.01 0.01 | 0.1 <br> 0.4 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 6 | A | 0.00 | 0.5 | 7 | A | 0.01 | 0.5 | 9 | A | 0.01 | 0.4 | 12 | A | 0.01 | 0.4 | 12 | A | 0.01 | 0.4 |
|  | Southound | Left |  |  | 40 | A | 0.03 | 0.2 | 49 | A | 0.04 | 0.3 | 51 | A | 0.04 | 0.4 | 63 | A | 0.05 | 0.5 | 63 | A | 0.05 | 0.5 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Free | 90 | A | 0.03 | 1.9 | 111 | A | 0.04 | 2.0 | 190 | A | 0.04 | 1.6 | 233 | A | 0.05 | 1.8 | 253 | A | 0.05 | 1.7 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 40 | A | 0.03 | 1.9 | 49 | A | 0.04 | 2.0 | 49 | A | 0.04 | 1.6 | 61 | A | 0.05 | 1.8 | 61 | A | 0.05 | 1.7 |
| $100 \mathrm{~N} \& 200 \mathrm{E}$ | Eastound |  | － | － |  | A | ${ }^{0.07}$ | ${ }^{7.4}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 0.09 | ${ }^{7.6}$ |  | A | ${ }^{0.16}$ | 7.9 |  | A | ${ }^{0.20}$ | ${ }^{8.3}$ |  | A | ${ }^{0.23}$ | 8.5 |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\text { Left }}{\text { Throut }}$ |  |  | ${ }^{15}$ | A | 0.06 | 7.5 | ${ }^{18}$ | A | 0.07 | ${ }^{7} 7$ | ${ }^{18}$ | A | 0.08 | 7.9 | ${ }^{23}$ | A | 0.11 | ${ }^{8.2}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | A | 0.11 | 8.4 |
|  |  | $\frac{\text { Through }}{\text { Right }}$ | LTR | Stop | ${ }_{5}^{26}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | 0.06 0.06 0.0 | 7.5 7.5 | $\frac{32}{6}$ | A | 0.07 0.07 | 7.7 <br> 7.7 | ${ }^{38}$ | A | 0.08 <br> 0.08 | 7.9 7.9 | 47 | A | 0.11 0.11 | ${ }_{8.2}^{8.2}$ | $\stackrel{47}{8}$ | A | 0．11 | 8.4 <br> 8.4 |
|  | Westbund | Left |  |  | 5 | A | $\stackrel{0}{0.05}$ | ${ }^{7.3}$ | 6 | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.07}$ | $\stackrel{7}{7.5}$ | 7 | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.08}$ | ${ }_{7} 7.8$ | 9 | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.10}$ | ${ }_{8.1}^{8.2}$ | 11 | A | 0.11 |  |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | 25 | A | 0.05 | 7.3 | 31 | A | 0.07 | 7.5 | 37 | A | 0.08 | 7.8 | 45 | A | 0.10 | 8.1 | 45 | A | 0.11 | 8.2 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 14 | A | ${ }^{0.05}$ | ${ }^{7.3}$ | ${ }^{17}$ | A | 0.07 | 7.5 | 18 | A | ${ }^{0.08}$ | 7.8 | ${ }^{22}$ | A | 0.10 | ${ }^{8.1}$ | ${ }^{24}$ | A | 0.11 | ${ }^{8.2}$ |
|  | Northbund | Left |  |  | 5 | A | 0.07 | ${ }^{7.5}$ | 6 | A | 0.09 | 7.6 | 6 | A | 0.16 | ${ }^{8.1}$ | 8 | A | 0.20 | 8.5 | 8 | A | 0.23 | ${ }^{8.8}$ |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | 45 | A | 0.07 | 7.5 | 55 | A | 0.09 | 7.6 | 107 | A | 0.16 | 8.1 | ${ }^{132}$ | A | 0.20 | 8.5 | 154 | A | 0.23 | 8.8 |
|  |  | $\frac{\text { Ripht }}{\text { Left }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | A |  | 7.5 7 |  | A |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ |  | ${ }^{8.1}$ | ${ }_{2} 9$ | A | 0.20 | ${ }^{8.5}$ |  | A | 0.23 | 8.8 |
|  | Southbound | $\frac{\text { Left }}{\text { Through }}$ | LTR | Stop | ${ }_{30}^{15}$ | A | 0.07 0.07 | 7.4 7.4 | 18 37 | A | 0.09 0.09 | 7.6 7.6 | $\frac{19}{47}$ | A | 0.11 0.11 | 7.8 7.8 | 24 <br> 58 | A | 0.14 <br> 0.14 | $\frac{8.2}{8.2}$ | 24 <br> 78 <br> 8 | A | 0.17 0.17 | 8.4 <br> 8.4 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 14 | A | 0.07 | 7.4 | 17 | A | 0.09 | 7.6 | ${ }^{17}$ | A | 0.11 | ${ }_{7} 7.8$ | ${ }_{21}$ | A | 0.14 | ${ }_{8.2}$ | 21 | A | 0.17 | 8.4 |
| $100 \mathrm{~S} \& 400 \mathrm{~W}$ | Overall |  | － | － | N／A |  |  |  |  | A | 0.01 | 5.1 |  | A | 0.07 | 6.0 |  | A | 0.09 | 6.0 |  | A | 0.09 | 6.0 |
|  | Eastound | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | A | 0.00 | 0.0 | 3 | A | 0.00 | 0.0 | 4 | A | 0.00 | 0.0 | 4 | A | 0.00 |  |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Free |  |  |  |  | 4 | A | 0.00 | 2.2 | 4 | A | 0.00 | 0.7 | ， | A | 0.00 | 0.7 | 5 | A | 0.00 | 0.7 |
|  |  | $\frac{\text { Right }}{\text { Left }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | A | 0．00 0.00 | 2.2 <br> 0.0 <br> 0 | ${ }^{26}$ | A | 0.00 0.00 | 0.7 0.0 | ${ }_{4}^{32}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | 0．00 0.00 | $\stackrel{0.7}{0.0}$ | ${ }_{4}^{32}$ | A | 0.00 0.00 | 0.7 |
|  | Westbound | Through | LTR | Free |  |  |  |  | 4 | A | 0.00 | 2.2 | 4 | A | 0.00 | 2.2 | 5 | A | 0.00 | ${ }_{2} .3$ | 5 | A | 0.00 | 2.3 |
|  |  | Right |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | A | 0.00 | 2.2 | 3 | A | 0.00 | 2.2 | 4 | A | 0.00 | 2.3 | 4 | A | 0.00 | 2.3 |
|  | Northbund | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.01}$ | 9.0 | 1 | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.01}$ | ${ }_{9}^{9.1}$ | 5 | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.01}$ | 9.2 | 5 | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.01}$ | 9.2 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop |  |  |  |  | 4 | A | 0.01 0.01 | 9.0 | ${ }_{4}$ | A | 0.01 <br> 0.01 | ${ }_{9}^{9.1}$ | 5 | A | 0.01 <br> 0.01 | ${ }_{9}^{9.2}$ | 5 | A | 0.01 | 9.2 |
|  |  | Right |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.01}$ | 9.0 8.7 | 4 | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.007}$ | ${ }_{9.5}^{9.1}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.01}$ | ${ }_{9.7}^{9.2}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.01}$ | 9.2 <br> 9.7 |
|  | Soutbound | Through | LTR | Stop |  |  |  |  | 2 | A | 0.01 | 8.7 | 46 | A | 0.07 | 9.5 | 57 | A | 0.09 | 9.7 | 57 | A | 0.09 | 9.7 |
|  |  | Right |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | A | 0.01 | 8.7 | 4 | A | 0.07 | 9.5 | 5 | A | 0.09 | 9.7 | 5 | A | 0.09 | 9.7 |

Town of Raymond
Proiect No:
Date Created:
Date Revised:
IMPROVED NETwork -

| Intersection | Intersection Approach | Movement | Configuation | Traffic Control | 2008 Background |  |  |  | 2018 Background |  |  |  | 2018 Total |  |  |  | 2028 Background |  |  |  | 2028 Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Volume | $\stackrel{8}{9}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{\Omega}{2} \\ & \text { 合 } \end{aligned}$ | Volume | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |  |  | Volume | \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \text { y } \\ & \text { x } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Volume | $\stackrel{\square}{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline \frac{0}{x} \\ & \frac{x}{x} \end{aligned}$ |  | Volume | $\stackrel{\square}{9}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{\Omega}{2} \\ & \text { 霜 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $100 \mathrm{~S} \& 200 \mathrm{~W}$ | Overall | - | - | . | - | A | 0.05 | ${ }^{7.1}$ | . | A | 0.07 | 7.2 | . | A | 0.08 | 7.4 | . | A | 0.10 | 7.5 | . | A | 0.13 | 7.7 |
|  | Eastound | Left |  |  | ${ }^{12}$ | A | 0.03 | ${ }^{7.3}$ | 15 | A | 0.03 | ${ }^{7.4}$ | ${ }^{15}$ | A | 0.03 | ${ }^{7.5}$ | 18 | A | 0.04 | ${ }^{7.6}$ | 18 | A | 0.04 | 7.7 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | 6 | , | 0.03 | ${ }^{7.3}$ | 7 | A | 0.03 | 7.4 | 7 | A | 0.03 | 7.5 |  | A | 0.04 | 7.6 | 9 | A | 0.04 | 7.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 | A | 0.03 | 7.3 | 2 | A | 0.03 | 7.4 | 2 | A | 0.03 | 7.5 | 3 | A | 0.04 | 7.6 | 3 | A | 0.04 | 7.7 |
|  | Westound | Left |  |  | 2 | A | 0.04 | 6.8 | 2 | A | 0.05 | 6.9 | 2 | A | 0.05 | 7.0 | 3 | A | 0.07 | 7.2 | 3 | A | 0.07 | 7.3 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | 7 | A | 0.04 | 6.8 | 9 | A | 0.05 | 6.9 | 9 | A | ${ }^{0.05}$ | 7.0 | 11 | A | 0.07 | 7.2 | 11 | A | 0.07 | 7.3 |
|  |  | $\underset{\text { Right }}{\text { Left }}$ |  |  | 30 1 | A | ${ }^{0.04}$ | ${ }^{6.8}$ | ${ }^{37}$ | A | ${ }^{0.05}$ | ${ }^{6.9}$ | ${ }^{37}$ | A | 0.05 | 7.0 | ${ }_{4}^{45}$ | A | 0.07 | 7.2 | ${ }_{4}^{45}$ | A | 0.07 | 7.3 |
|  | Northbound | $\stackrel{\text { Left }}{\text { Through }}$ | LTR | Stop | 15 | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | 0.02 0.02 0 | 7.1 7.1 | ${ }_{1}^{18}$ | A | 0.03 0.03 | 7.2 <br> 7.2 | $\stackrel{1}{59}$ | A | 0.08 <br> 0.08 | 7.5 <br> 7 | ${ }_{73}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.10} 0$ | 7.6 <br> 7.6 | ${ }_{94}$ | A | 0.13 0.13 | 7.8 <br> 7.8 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 4 | A | ${ }_{0} 0.02$ | ${ }^{7} .1$ | 5 | A | 0.03 | ${ }^{7.2}$ | 5 | A | 0.08 | 7.5 | 6 | A | 0.10 | 7.6 | 6 | A | 0.13 | 7.8 |
|  | Soutbound | Left |  |  | 22 | A | 0.05 | 7.3 | 27 | A | 0.07 | 7.4 | 27 | A | 0.07 | 7.5 | 33 | A | 0.08 | ${ }^{7} .6$ | 33 | A | 0.11 | 7.8 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | 14 | A | ${ }^{0.05}$ | 7.3 | 17 | A | 0.07 | 7.4 | ${ }^{18}$ | A | 0.07 | 7.5 | ${ }^{22}$ | A | 0.08 | ${ }^{7} .6$ | ${ }^{42}$ | A | 0.11 | 7.8 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 7 | A | 0.05 | 7.3 | 9 | A | 0.07 | 7.4 | 9 | A | 0.07 | 7.5 | 11 | A | 0.08 | 7.6 | 11 | A | 0.11 | 7.8 |
| 100 S \& Broadway | Overall |  | - | - | - | A | 0.06 | 4.7 |  | A | 0.08 | 4.8 |  | A | 0.10 | 3.7 |  | A | 0.14 | 4.0 |  | A | 0.16 | 4.0 |
|  | Eastound | Left |  |  | 22 | B | 0.06 | 10.3 | 27 | B | 0.08 | 10.8 | ${ }^{27}$ | B | 0.10 | ${ }^{12.3}$ | 33 | B | 0.14 | 13.9 | ${ }^{33}$ | c | 0.16 | 15.3 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | 10 | B | ${ }^{0.06}$ | 10.3 | ${ }^{12}$ | B | 0.08 | 10.8 | ${ }^{12}$ | B | 0.10 | ${ }^{12.3}$ | ${ }^{15}$ | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 0.14 | 13.9 | ${ }_{15}^{15}$ | c | ${ }^{0.16}$ | 15.3 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 8 | B | ${ }^{0.06}$ | 10.3 | 10 | B | 0.08 | 10.8 | 10 | B | 0.10 | 12.3 | 12 | B | 0.14 | 13.9 | ${ }^{12}$ | c | 0.16 | 15.3 |
|  | Westbound | Left |  |  | 6 | A | 0.06 | 9.5 | 7 | A | 0.07 | 9.7 | 7 | B | 0.09 | 10.5 | 9 | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 0.12 | ${ }_{11.3}^{11.3}$ | 9 | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 0.13 | 11.9 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | ${ }^{12}$ | A | ${ }^{0.06}$ | 9.5 | ${ }^{15}$ | A | 0.07 | 9.7 | ${ }^{15}$ | B | 0.09 | 10.5 | ${ }^{18}$ | B | 0.12 | ${ }^{11.3}$ | ${ }^{18}$ | B | 0.13 | 11.9 |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {Ribght }}^{\text {Left }}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{28}{15}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | 0.06 0.01 0.01 | 9.5 0.1 | 34 <br> 18 <br> 18 | A | 0.07 0.01 0.0 | 9.7 <br> 0.1 | 34 18 18 | A | 0.09 0.01 | 10.5 0.1 | $\stackrel{42}{23}$ | A | 0.12 0.02 | ${ }^{11.3}$ | $\stackrel{42}{38}$ | B | 0.13 0.03 | 11.9 <br> 0.3 <br> 1 |
|  | Northbound | Through | LTR | Free | 42 | A | 0.01 | 1.9 | 52 | A | 0.01 | 1.9 | 106 | A | 0.01 | 1.2 | 130 | A | 0.02 | 1.3 | ${ }^{153}$ | A | 0.03 | 1.7 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 4 | A | 0.01 | 1.9 | 5 | A | 0.01 | 1.9 | 5 | A | 0.01 | 1.2 | 6 | A | 0.02 | 1.3 | 6 | A | 0.03 | 1.7 |
|  | Soutbound | Left |  |  | 22 | A | 0.02 | 0.1 | 27 | A | 0.02 | 0.1 | 27 | A | 0.02 | 0.2 | 33 | A | 0.03 | 0.2 | 33 | A | 0.03 | 0.2 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Free | 54 | A | 0.02 | 1.8 | 66 | A | 0.02 | 1.8 | ${ }^{147}$ | A | 0.02 | 1.2 | 181 | A | 0.03 | 1.2 | 203 | A | 0.03 | 1.2 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 18 | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.02}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.8}$ | 22 | A | 0.02 | 1.8 | 22 | A | 0.02 | 1.2 | 27 | A | 0.03 | 1.2 | 27 | A | 0.03 | 1.2 |
| $100 \mathrm{~S} \& 200 \mathrm{E}$ | Eastbound |  | - | - |  | A | ${ }^{0.05}$ | 7.2 |  | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | ${ }^{0.06}$ | 7.3 |  | A | 0.13 | 7.5 |  | A | 0.16 | ${ }^{7} .8$ |  | A | 0.19 | 8.0 |
|  |  | Left |  |  | 17 | A | ${ }^{0.03}$ | 7.3 | ${ }^{21}$ | A | 0.04 | 7.4 | ${ }^{21}$ | A | 0.04 | 7.6 | ${ }^{26}$ | A | 0.06 | 7.8 | ${ }^{26}$ | A | ${ }^{0.06}$ | 7.9 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | 6 | A | 0.03 | 7.3 | 7 | A | 0.04 | 7.4 | 7 | A | 0.04 | 7.6 | 9 | A | 0.06 | 7.8 | 9 | A | 0.06 | 7.9 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 4 | A | 0.03 | 7.3 | 5 | A | 0.04 | 7.4 | 5 | A | 0.04 | 7.6 | 6 | A | 0.06 | 7.8 | 6 | A | 0.06 | 7.9 |
|  | Westbound | Left |  |  | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.03}$ | 6.9 <br> 6 <br> 6 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | ${ }^{0.04}$ | 7.0 <br> 70 | ${ }^{2}$ | A | 0.04 | $\stackrel{7.2}{72}$ | $\stackrel{3}{15}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 0.05 <br> 0.05 | 7.4 <br> 74 | ${ }^{15}$ | A | ${ }^{0.05}$ | 7.5 <br> 75 |
|  |  | $\frac{\text { Through }}{\text { Right }}$ | LTR | Stop | ${ }_{10}^{10}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | 0.03 0.03 0.03 | 6.9 6.9 | ${ }^{12}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | 0.04 0.04 0.0 | 7.0 <br> 7.0 | ${ }^{12}$ | A | 0.04 0.04 0 | $\stackrel{7.2}{7.2}$ | 15 <br> 24 | A | 0.05 <br> 0.05 | 7.4 7.4 | $\stackrel{15}{24}$ | A | 0.05 0.05 0.0 | 7.5 <br> 7.5 |
|  | Northbound | Left |  |  | 12 | A | 0.05 | ${ }^{7.3}$ | 15 | A | 0.06 | 7.4 | 15 | A | 0.13 | ${ }^{7} .8$ | 18 | A | 0.16 | 8.0 | 18 | A | 0.19 | 8.2 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | 22 | A | 0.05 | 7.3 | ${ }^{27}$ | A | 0.06 | 7.4 | 80 | A | 0.13 | 7.8 | 98 | A | 0.16 | 8.0 | 120 | A | 0.19 | 8.2 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 6 | A | 0.05 | 7.3 | 7 | A | 0.06 | 7.4 | 7 | , | 0.13 | 7.8 | 9 | A | 0.16 | 8.0 | 9 | A | 0.19 | 8.2 |
|  | Southbound | Left |  |  | 8 | A | 0.05 | 7.1 | 10 | A | 0.06 | 7.2 | 10 | A | 0.07 | 7.4 | 12 | A | 0.09 | 7.6 | 12 | A | 0.12 | 7.8 |
|  |  | Through | LTR | Stop | ${ }^{18}$ | A | ${ }^{0.05}$ | 7.1 | ${ }^{22}$ | A | 0.06 | 7.2 | ${ }^{33}$ | A | 0.07 | 7.4 | ${ }_{41}$ | A | 0.09 | 7.6 | ${ }^{63}$ | A | ${ }^{0.12}$ | 7.8 |
|  |  | Right |  |  | 14 | A | 0.05 | 7.1 | 17 | A | 0.06 | 7.2 | 17 | A | 0.07 | 7.4 | 21 | A | 0.09 | 7.6 | 21 | A | 0.12 | 7.8 |
| $400 \mathrm{~S} \& 400 \mathrm{~W}$ | Overall |  | . | - | N/A |  |  |  | - | A | 0.01 | 2.0 |  | A | 0.02 | 1.8 |  | A | 0.03 | 1.9 |  | A | 0.03 | 1.3 |
|  |  | Left | LT | Free |  |  |  |  | , | A | 0.00 | 0.0 | 30 | A | 0.02 | 0.2 | 37 | A | 0.03 | 0.2 | 37 | A | 0.03 | 0.2 |
|  | Eastbound ${ }^{\text {Westbound }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Through }}^{\text {Throwh }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | A | ${ }^{0.00}$ | ${ }^{3} .7$ | ${ }_{8}^{85}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.02}$ | ${ }^{2} .0$ | ${ }_{105}^{10}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ |  | ${ }_{2}^{2.0}$ | ${ }_{1}^{186}$ | A | ${ }^{0.03}$ | 1.4 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Through } \\ & \text { Right } \end{aligned}$ | TR | Free |  |  |  |  | 4 | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | 0.01 0.01 | $\stackrel{0.0}{0.0}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | A | 0.01 0.01 | 0.0 0.0 | ${ }^{10}$ | A | 0.01 0.01 | 0.0 0.0 | $\stackrel{10}{5}$ | A | 0.01 0.01 | 0.0 0.0 |
|  | Southout | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | A | -0. |  | 0 | - | 0.01 | . 0 | 0 |  | . | . | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | Right | LR | Yield |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 400 S \& Bradway | $\xrightarrow{\text { Overall }}$ |  |  |  |  | A | 0.02 | 4.6 |  | A | 0.02 | 4.5 |  | A | 0.08 | ${ }^{4.4}$ |  | A | 0.10 | 4.6 |  | A | 0.15 | 3.9 |
|  |  | Left | ıT | Free | ${ }^{15}$ | A | ${ }^{0.01}$ | ${ }^{0.1}$ | 18 | A | 0.01 | ${ }^{0.1}$ | 53 | A | 0.04 | ${ }^{0.3}$ | ${ }^{66}$ | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.05}$ | ${ }^{0.4}$ | ${ }^{66}$ | , | 0.05 | 0.4 |
|  | Westbound | Through | TR | Free | 3 | A | 0.01 <br> 0.01 <br> 0.0 | 6.1 0.0 | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | A | 0.01 0.02 | 6.0 0.0 | $\stackrel{42}{5}$ | A ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 0.04 <br> 0.04 | 4.3 <br> 0.0 | 51 | A | 0.05 0.05 | 4.4 0.0 | ${ }^{132}$ | A | 0.05 0.07 | 2.8 <br> 0.0 |
|  |  | Right |  | Yield | 16 | A | 0.01 | 0.0 | ${ }^{20}$ | A | 0.02 | 0.0 | 65 | A | 0.04 | 0.0 | ${ }^{80}$ | A | 0.05 | 0.0 | 111 | A | 0.07 | 0.0 |
|  | Soutbound | $\frac{\text { Left }}{\text { Right }}$ | LR |  | ${ }^{6}$ | A | ${ }_{0}^{0.02}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8.6}$ | 7 | A | 0.02 | ${ }^{8.6}$ | ${ }_{45}^{45}$ | A | 0.08 | 9.9 | $\begin{array}{r}56 \\ \hline 15 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 0.10 | ${ }^{10.3}$ | ${ }^{67}$ | B | 0.15 | 11.1 |
| $400 \mathrm{~S} \& 200 \mathrm{E}$ | Overall |  | - | . |  | A | $\stackrel{0.02}{0.01}$ | $\frac{8.6}{5.3}$ | 12 | A | 0.02 0.01 | $\frac{8.6}{5.3}$ | ${ }^{12}$ | A | 0.08 0.02 | $\frac{9.9}{6.0}$ | 15 | ${ }_{\text {B }}{ }^{\text {A }}$ | ${ }^{0.10} 0$ | $\frac{10.3}{6.0}$ | 26 | ${ }_{\text {B }}^{\text {A }}$ | 0.15 0.07 | $\frac{11.1}{3.1}$ |
|  | Eastound | Left | LT |  | 12 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 15 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 15 | , | 0.01 | 0.1 | 18 | A | 0.01 | 0.1 | 26 | , | 0.02 | 0.1 |
|  |  | Through |  | Free | 4 | A | 0.01 | 5.5 | 5 | A | 0.01 | 5.5 | 5 | A | 0.01 | 5.5 | 6 | A | 0.01 | 5.5 | 87 | A | 0.02 | 1.8 |
|  | Westbound | Through | TR | Free | $\stackrel{2}{4}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | ${ }^{0.00}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | ${ }^{0.00}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | A | 0.01 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 3 | ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | ${ }^{0.01}$ | ${ }^{0.0}$ | ${ }_{34}^{34}$ | A | 0.04 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $\frac{\text { Right }}{\text { Left }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{3}^{4}$ | A | 0.00 0.01 0.0 | 0.0 8.5 | ${ }_{4}^{5}$ | A | 0.00 0.01 0 | $\stackrel{0.0}{8.5}$ | 6 <br> 15 | A | 0.01 0.02 | ¢0.0 8.7 | ${ }^{7}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.01}$ | ${ }_{8.8}^{0.0}$ | 23 40 | A | 0.04 0.07 | 0.0 <br> 0.8 |
|  | Soutbound | Right | LR | Stop | 6 | , | 0.01 | 8.5 | 7 | A | 0.01 | 8.5 | 7 | A | 0.02 | 8.7 | 9 | A | 0.03 | ${ }^{8.8}$ | 9 | A | 0.07 | 9.8 |
| $\underset{\substack{40 \\ \# 10 \text { Access }}}{40 \text { Develoment }}$ | Overall |  |  | - | N/A |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |  | A | 0.00 | - |  | A | 0.00 |  |
|  | Westbound | $\frac{\text { Left }}{\text { Through }}$ | LR | Stop |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{18}^{0}$ | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{81}^{81}$ | - | - | - |
|  | Northbound | Through | TR | Free |  |  |  |  | 10 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | 0 | - | - | - |
|  |  | Right |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | 0 | - | - | . |
|  | Southbound | Right | LR | Free |  |  |  |  | 0 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | 47 | - | - |  |

## Appendix E - Traffic Signal Warrants

| Town of Raymond |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project No: | 2007-3491 |
| Date Revised: | January 14, 2009 |
| Intersection: | Broadway \& Church Avenue |
|  |  |
| Date of Count: 2008 |  |

Date of Count: 2008


| Town of Raymond |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project No: | $2007-3491$ |
| Date Revised: | January 14, 2009 |
| Intersecion: | Broadway \& 100 N |
|  |  |
| Date of Count: 2008 |  |



| Town of Raymond |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project No: | 2007-3491 |
| Date Revised: | January 14, 2009 |
| Intersecion: | Broadway \& 100 N |

Date of Count: 2018 Total

| 1 Collisions (Figure B2-1)Pa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II Crossing Gaps, Progression, Delay and Vehicular Stops <br> B. Two-Way Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Approach 2008 Pk H | pk to AADT | 2008 Pk Hr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Egress } \\ & 2008 \text { Pk Hr } \end{aligned}$ | pk to AADT | 2008 Pk Hr | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {tew }}\left(\right.$ or $\mathrm{V}_{\text {tns }} \mathrm{x}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{x}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {eew }}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{F}_{\text {ens }}\right)=$ | Priority Points |
| E-W Street - E. of int. | 63 | 0.10 | 626 | 60 | 0.10 | 601 | 1.23 | 2 | 1.30 | 3.19 |
| E-W Street - W. of int. | 59 | 0.10 | 586 | 57 | 0.10 | 574 | 1.16 | 2 | 1.30 | 3.01 |
| N-S Street - N. of int. | 257 | 0.10 | 2,570 | 290 | 0.10 | 2,901 | 5.47 | 2 | 1.30 | 14.22 |
| N-S Street - S. of int. | 197 | 0.10 | 1,966 | 167 | 0.10 | 1,672 | 3.64 | 2 | 1.30 | 9.46 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 29.89 |
| III Crossing Gaps, Intersecting Volumes, and Pedestrian Volumes B. Through Street Two-Way |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Approach } \\ & 2008 \text { Pk Hi } \end{aligned}$ | pk to AADT | 2008 Pk Hr |  | $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {aew }}{ }^{+}\right.$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {ew }}$ ) X | $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {ans }}+\right.$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {ns }}$ ) X | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ow }}=$ | Priority Points |
| Approach East | 63 | 1.00 | 63 |  | 0.06 | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach West | 59 | 1.00 | 59 |  | 0.06 | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach North | 257 | 1.00 | 257 |  |  |  | 0.26 | 0.18 |  |  |
| Approach South | 197 | 1.00 | 197 |  |  |  | 0.20 | 0.18 | 1.00 | 0.34 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 0.34 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AL PR | ORITY POINTS | 5.23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WARR | ANTED? (>100) | NO |


| Town of Raymond |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project No: | 2007-3491 |
| Date Revised: | January 14, 2009 |
| Intersecion: | Broadway \& 100 N |

Date of Count: 2028 Total


| Town of Raymond |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project No: | 2007-3491 |
| Date Revised: | January 14, 2009 |
| Intersection: | Highway 52 \& Broadway |

Date of Count: 2008

| ICollisions (Figure B2-1) Pa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | -25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II Crossing Gaps, Progression, Delay and Vehicular Stops <br> B. Two-Way Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Approach 2008 Pk Hr | pk to AADT | 2008 Pk Hr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Egress } \\ & 2008 \text { Pk Hr } \end{aligned}$ | pk to AADT | 2008 Pk Hr | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {tew }}\left(\right.$ or $\mathrm{V}_{\text {tns }} \mathrm{X}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{X}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {eew }}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{F}_{\text {ens }}\right)=$ | Priority Points |
| E-W Street - E. of int. | 70 | 0.10 | 700 | 77 | 0.10 | 770 | 1.47 | 2 | 1.30 | 3.82 |
| E-W Street - W. of int. | 131 | 0.10 | 1,310 | 124 | 0.10 | 1,240 | 2.55 | 2 | 1.30 | 6.63 |
| N -S Street - N. of int. | 116 | 0.10 | 1,160 | 113 | 0.10 | 1,130 | 2.29 | 2 | 1.30 | 5.95 |
| N-S Street - S. of int. | 174 | 0.10 | 1,740 | 177 | 0.10 | 1,770 | 3.51 | 2 | 1.30 | 9.13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 25.53 |
| III Crossing Gaps, Intersecting Volumes, and Pedestrian Volumes B. Through Street Two-Way |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Approach 2008 Pk Hr | pk to AADT | 2008 Pk Hr |  | $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {aew }}{ }^{+}\right.$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {ew }}$ ) X | $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {ans }}+\right.$ | $\left.\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ns}}\right) \mathrm{X}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ow }}=$ | Priority Points |
| Approach East | 70 | 1.00 | 70 |  | 0.07 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach West | 131 | 1.00 | 131 |  | 0.13 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach North | 116 | 1.00 | 116 |  |  |  | 0.12 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Approach South | 174 | 1.00 | 174 |  |  |  | 0.17 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.06 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 0.06 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TAL PR WARR | ORITY POINTS ANTED? (>100) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.59 \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ |


| Town of Raymond |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project No: | $2007-3491$ |
| Date Revised: | January 14, 2009 |
| Intersection: | Highway 52 \& Broadway |

Date of Count: 2018 Total


| Town of Raymond |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project No: | $2007-3491$ |
| Date Revised: | January 14, 2009 |
| Intersection: | Highway 52 \& Broadway |

Date of Count: 2028 Total


| Town of Raymond |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project No: | 2007-3491 |
| Date Revised: | January 14, 2009 |
| Intersection: | Highway 52 \& 200 W |

Date of Count: 2008

| ICollisions (Figure B2-1) Pa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | -25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II Crossing Gaps, Progression, Delay and Vehicular Stops <br> B. Two-Way Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Approach 2008 Pk Hr | pk to AADT | 2008 Pk Hr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Egress } \\ & 2008 \text { Pk Hr } \end{aligned}$ | pk to AADT | 2008 Pk Hr | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {tew }}\left(\right.$ or $\mathrm{V}_{\text {tns }} \mathrm{X}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{X}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {eew }}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{F}_{\text {ens }}\right)=$ | Priority Points |
| E-W Street - E. of int. | 116 | 0.10 | 1,160 | 110 | 0.10 | 1,100 | 2.26 | 2 | 1.30 | 5.88 |
| E-W Street - W. of int. | 119 | 0.10 | 1,190 | 88 | 0.10 | 880 | 2.07 | 2 | 1.30 | 5.38 |
| N-S Street - N. of int. | 71 | 0.10 | 710 | 62 | 0.10 | 620 | 1.33 | 2 | 1.30 | 3.46 |
| N-S Street - S. of int. | 73 | 0.10 | 730 | 119 | 0.10 | 1,190 | 1.92 | 2 | 1.30 | 4.99 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 19.71 |
| III Crossing Gaps, Intersecting Volumes, and Pedestrian Volumes B. Through Street Two-Way |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Approach 2008 Pk Hr | pk to AADT | 2008 Pk Hr |  | $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {aew }}{ }^{+}\right.$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {ew }}$ ) X | $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {ans }}+\right.$ | $\left.\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ns}}\right) \mathrm{X}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ow }}=$ | Priority Points |
| Approach East | 116 | 1.00 | 116 |  | 0.12 | 0.59 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach West | 119 | 1.00 | 119 |  | 0.12 | 0.59 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach North | 71 | 1.00 | 71 |  |  |  | 0.07 | 0.14 |  |  |
| Approach South | 73 | 1.00 | 73 |  |  |  | 0.07 | 0.14 | 1.00 | 0.60 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 0.60 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TAL PR WARR | ORITY POINTS ANTED? (>100) | $\begin{gathered} -4.69 \\ \text { NO } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |


| Town of Raymond |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project No: | 2007-3491 |
| Date Revised: | January 14, 2009 |
| Intersection: | Highway 52 \& 200 W |

Date of Count: 2018 Total

| ICollisions (Figure B2-1) Pa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | -25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II Crossing Gaps, Progression, Delay and Vehicular Stops B. Two-Way Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Approach 2008 Pk Hr | pk to AADT | 2008 Pk Hr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Egress } \\ & 2008 \text { Pk Hr } \end{aligned}$ | pk to AADT | 2008 Pk Hr | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {tew }}\left(\right.$ or $\mathrm{V}_{\text {tns }} \mathrm{X}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{X}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {eew }}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{F}_{\text {ens }}\right)=$ | Priority Points |
| E-W Street - E. of int. | 228 | 0.10 | 2,277 | 135 | 0.10 | 1,353 | 3.63 | 2 | 1.30 | 9.44 |
| E-W Street - W. of int. | 146 | 0.10 | 1,464 | 193 | 0.10 | 1,932 | 3.40 | 2 | 1.30 | 8.83 |
| N-S Street - N. of int. | 128 | 0.10 | 1,283 | 77 | 0.10 | 773 | 2.06 | 2 | 1.30 | 5.35 |
| N-S Street - S. of int. | 91 | 0.10 | 908 | 187 | 0.10 | 1,874 | 2.78 | 2 | 1.30 | 7.23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 30.84 |
| III Crossing Gaps, Intersecting Volumes, and Pedestrian Volumes B. Through Street Two-Way |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Approach 2008 Pk Hr | pk to AADT | 2008 Pk Hr |  | $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {aew }}{ }^{+}\right.$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {ew }}$ ) X | $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {ans }}+\right.$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {ns }}$ ) X | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ow }}=$ | Priority Points |
| Approach East | 228 | 1.00 | 228 |  | 0.23 | 0.59 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach West | 146 | 1.00 | 146 |  | 0.15 | 0.59 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach North | 128 | 1.00 | 128 |  |  |  | 0.13 | 0.14 |  |  |
| Approach South | 91 | 1.00 | 91 |  |  |  | 0.09 | 0.14 | 1.00 | 0.78 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 0.78 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TAL PR WARR | ORITY POINTS ANTED? (>100) | $\begin{aligned} & 6.62 \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ |


| Town of Raymond |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project No: | 2007-3491 |
| Date Revised: | January 14, 2009 |
| Intersection: | Highway 52 \& 200 W |

Date of Count: 2028 Total


| Town of Raymond |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project No: | $2007-3491$ |
| Date Revised: | January 14, 2009 |
| Intersection | 100 N \& 200 W |

Date of Count: 2008


| Town of Raymond |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project No: | $2007-3491$ |
| Date Revised: | January 14, 2009 |
| Intersection | 100 N \& 200 W |

Date of Count: 2018 Total

| ICollisions (Figure B2-1) Pa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | -25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II Crossing Gaps, Progression, Delay and Vehicular Stops B. Two-Way Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Approach } \\ & 2008 \text { Pk Hr } \end{aligned}$ | pk to AADT | 2008 Pk Hr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Egress } \\ & 2008 \text { Pk Hr } \end{aligned}$ | pk to AADT | 2008 Pk Hr | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {tew }}\left(\right.$ or $\mathrm{V}_{\text {tns }} \mathrm{x}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{X}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {eew }}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{F}_{\text {ens }}\right)=$ | Priority Points |
| E-W Street - E. of int. | 57 | 0.10 | 574 | 59 | 0.10 | 586 | 1.16 | 2 | 1.30 | 3.01 |
| E-W Street - W. of int. | 37 | 0.10 | 369 | 75 | 0.10 | 750 | 1.12 | 2 | 1.30 | 2.91 |
| N-S Street - N. of int. | 187 | 0.10 | 1,874 | 91 | 0.10 | 908 | 2.78 | 2 | 1.30 | 7.23 |
| N-S Street - S. of int. | 54 | 0.10 | 539 | 111 | 0.10 | 1,111 | 1.65 | 2 | 1.30 | 4.29 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 17.45 |
| III Crossing Gaps, Intersecting Volumes, and Pedestrian Volumes B. Through Street Two-Way |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Approach } \\ & 2008 \text { Pk Hr } \end{aligned}$ | pk to AADT | 2008 Pk Hr |  | $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {aew }}{ }^{+}\right.$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ew}}$ ) X | $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {ans }}+\right.$ | $\left.\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ns}}\right) \mathrm{X}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ow }}=$ | Priority Points |
| Approach East | 57 | 1.00 | 57 |  | 0.06 | 0.70 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach West | 37 | 1.00 | 37 |  | 0.04 | 0.70 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach North | 187 | 1.00 | 187 |  |  |  | 0.19 | 0.55 |  |  |
| Approach South | 54 | 1.00 | 54 |  |  |  | 0.05 | 0.55 | 1.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 2.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AL PR WARR | ORITY POINTS ANTED? (>100) | $\begin{gathered} -5.55 \\ \text { NO } \end{gathered}$ |


| Town of Raymond |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project No: | $2007-3491$ |
| Date Revised: | January 14, 2009 |
| Intersection | 100 N \& 200 W |

Date of Count: 2028 Total


## Appendix F - Cost Estimate

| Cost Summary | Cost | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excavation: |  |  |
| Common Excavation | ${ }^{\$ 4.1}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Common Excavation Loaded to Trucks | \$10.88 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Subgrade preparation: |  |  |
| Subgrade preparation: | \$1.34 | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| avement Structure: |  |  |
| ACP. 70 mm | 8110.90 |  |
| GBC - 200 mm | ${ }_{\text {\$23.84 }}$ |  |
| Curb \& Guter | \$270.00 | m |
| vement Marking: |  |  |
| Centre Line Painting | \$1,041.35 | km |


| arterial |  |  |  | Collector |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400 S Access to Development \#10 \& \#11 | Quantity | Unit |  |  |  | Quantity | Unit |  |
| Length of New Roadway | 1.40 1.40300 | ${ }_{\text {km }}$ |  |  |  |  | km |  |
| Excavation: |  |  | cost | Excavation: |  |  |  | cost |
| Common Excavation | 4,545.72 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | \$19,046.57 | Common Exavation |  | 0.00 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | \$0.00 |
| Waste Excavation | 1,010.16 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | \$10,990.54 | Waste Excavation |  | 0.00 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | \$0.00 |
| Subgrade preparation: |  |  |  | Subgrade preparation: |  |  |  |  |
| Subgrade preparation: | 16,839.37 | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | \$22,564.75 | Subgrade preparation: |  | 0.00 | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | \$0.00 |
| Pavement Structure: ACP -75 mm |  |  |  | Pavement Structure: |  |  |  |  |
| ACP-75 mm | $2,904.88$ $7,680.17$ | t |  |  |  | 0.00 0.00 | t | $\$ 0.00$ $\$ 0.00$ |
| Curb \& Gutter | 2,806.00 | m | \$757,620.00 | Curb \& Gutter |  | 0.00 | m | \$0.00 |
| Pavement Marking: |  |  |  | Pavement Marking: |  |  |  |  |
| Centre Line Painting | 1.40 | km | \$1,461.01 | Centre Line Painting |  | 0.00 | km | \$0.00 |
| TOTAL |  |  | \$1,316,929.58 | TOTAL |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| total arterial roadways |  |  | \$1,316,929.58 | TOTAL COLLECTOR ROA |  |  |  | \$0.00 |

Assumption:

| Summary |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Arterial Roadway | 16,929 |
| Collector Roadway | 80.00 |
| Local Roadway | 331.5 |
| Roadway Total | \$7,648,444.55 |
| Contingency (15\%) | \$1,147,266.68 |
| Total (Roadway \& Contingency) | \$8,795,711.24 |
| Engineering Cost (15\%, including Contingency) | \$1,319,35 |
| Total (Roadway \& Contingency \& Engg. Cost) | 0,115,067.92 |
| GST | \$505,753.40 |
| STAL | \$10,62, 821.3 |

For GBC: $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}=2.33$ tonne


Town of Raymond - Transportation Master Plan
Project Number: $2007-319$
Date: January 15, 2009

\$856,989.81
Quantity
0.91
913.00

10,958.19

 | $1,826.00$ |
| :--- |
| 0.91 |

Collector
LOCAL $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\star}$

| LOCAL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Road in Development \#9 | Quantity | Unit |  |
| Length of New Roadway | 0.68 | km |  |
|  | 683.00 | m | Cost |
| Excavation: |  |  |  |
| Common Excavation | 1,589.89 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | \$6,661.63 |
| Waste Excavation | 308.17 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | \$3,352.89 |
| Subgrade preparation: |  |  |  |
| Subgrade preparation: | 6,489.73 | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | \$8,696.24 |
| Pavement Structure: |  |  |  |
| ACP - 75 mm | 1,106.62 | t | \$122,724.59 |
| GBC - 200 mm | 2,990.38 | t | \$71,290.68 |
| Curb \& Gutter | 1,366.00 | m | \$368,820.00 |
| Pavement Marking: |  |  |  |
| Centre Line Painting | 0.68 | km | \$711.24 |
| TOTAL |  |  | \$582,257.27 |
| Access to Golf Course | Quantity | Unit |  |
| Length of New Roadway | 0.33 | km |  |
|  | 332.00 | m | Cost |
| Excavation: |  |  |  |
| Common Excavation | 772.83 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | \$3,238.16 |
| Waste Excavation | 149.80 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | \$1,629.81 |
| Subgrade preparation: |  |  |  |
| Subgrade preparation: | 3,154.60 | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | \$4,227.16 |
| Pavement Structure: |  |  |  |
| ACP - 75 mm | 537.92 | t | \$59,655.29 |
| GBC - 200 mm | 1,453.60 | t | \$34,653.74 |
| Curb \& Gutter | 664.00 | m | \$179,280.00 |
| Pavement Marking: |  |  |  |
| Centre Line Painting | 0.33 | km | \$345.73 |
| TOTAL |  |  | \$283,029.89 |
| TOTAL LOCAL ROADWAYS |  |  | \$865,287.15 |

ت 0
$\infty$
$\sim$
$\sim$
$\sim$
$\sim$
$\sim$

$\infty$ | 0 |
| :---: |
| $\underset{\sim}{N}$ |
| $\underset{\sim}{N}$ |
|  |
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-

